# Model of Minimum CBR Distance Travelled by packets in MANETs using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.

M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY

Abstract – Management of energy consumption of nodes in ubicomp can be assisted by location-aware transmission strategies in MANETs [63]. Hence researchers believe that further development in location-aware transmission in MANETs must be undertaken. It is projected that further development will involve technologies like Landbased GPS systems, improved location refresh rates and location accuracy, along with developments of better protocols optimised for transmission following distance criteria. To enable proper tuning of transmission protocols or even to select appropriate protocols, and achieve optimal MANET performance, the applicability of known trends of distance coverages by packets in a ubicomp for varying node density remain considerable.

Two previous studies in this direction were made whereby two metrics were developed and their trend analyses put forward: PPD [26] and Max\_CBR\_Dist [27] which is derived from PPD.

This paper adds a third component after the metric PPD and Max\_CBR\_Dist to the area of modelling for managing distance packets travel in ubicomp topography of varying node densities. Designers may use these results towards formulation of better transmission protocols for ubicomp. This piece of research is a follow-up of previous work [1-27].

Key terms: Ubicomp- Ubiquitous Computing, MAUC-Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing, MANET- Mobile Adhoc Network, PPD- Packets\_Per\_Distance, Max\_CBR\_Dist- Maximum CBR Distance, Min\_CBR\_Dist- Minimum\_CBR\_Distance, CBR-Constant Bit Rate.

M. Kaleem GALAMALI, University of Technology Mauritius (student) Mauritius

Assoc. Prof Nawaz Mohamudally University of Technology Mauritius, Mauritius

## **1. Introduction**

Energy consumption in MAUC is predominantly affected by distance coverages. The effect of distance of transmission is very consequent since energy consumption varies proportional to the square of distance coverages by packets [15]. Using MANET strategy of transmission, the sender node along with all MANET route nodes forward packets corresponding to each CBR. A considerable impact in the ubicomp topography is that total number of packets in circulation increases with increasing node density. The sender sends packets to its closest neighbour which in turn forwards the packets to its closest "yet unused" neighbour. Nodes are mobile here, and hence in the dynamic topology changes occurring, there is no guarantee that all hops will be of equal distance nor that the first hop is the smallest or biggest one for each CBR. The research questions forwarded here are: "What are the minimum hop distance experienced by each CBR? What is the trend observable for this minimum hop count and how does it vary with varying node densities?"

The work presented here, remains empirical based and is built over previous work [26, 27]. It follows from the statement that metric PPD is a wide scope metric from which other sub-component metrics could be formulated. Each such sub-component metric may have specific characteristics that may be utilised for specific decision making in protocols to be used and also drive research for refinement of relevant sections in the transmission protocols.

The key contributions of this paper is firstly, the of a second derived development metric Min CBR Dist, derived from PPD for CBR Packet Per Distance analyses. The definition and rationale of metric Min\_CBR\_Dist is put forward. Secondly, the model of trend is put forward for the metric Min CBR Dist with results for varying node densities from 7 until 56 in a topography of 300 x 300  $m^2$ . The plot for cumulative tendency for the metric Min\_CBR\_Dist give more observable trend. The model proposed is the increasing exponential model. The rest of this paper is organised as follows: section 2- New Derived Metric - Minimum\_CBR\_Distance, section 3-Min\_CBR\_Dist Trend Assessment over Varying Node Numbers, 4- Conclusion and References.

# 2. New Derived Metric – Minimum\_CBR\_Distance.

Following definition of PPD [26], Min\_CBR\_Dist is defined as the minimum distance coverage noted for the whole of a CBR along a MANET topography. It can also be termed as the shortest hop distance noted for a CBR.



MANET routes may vary during a CBR transmission. Here also, it is envisageable that value 0 for the metric Min\_CBR\_Dist may be obtained. It would mean that the hop distance is between 0.00 and below 0.50 m.

The results of this study may serve towards the same purposes as described in previous paper [26].

# 3. Min\_CBR\_Dist - Trend Assessment over Varying Node Numbers.

#### 3.0 Major Observations.

Plotting % CBR against Min\_CBR\_Dist values depict sparse distribution of plots whereby trend is unconvincing as depicted for node number 7 in figure 1(a). The plot for cumulative % CBR against Min\_CBR\_Dist shows much better observable trends.

Overall, the trend observable is a rapid increase from origin, followed by a flattening of the curve till reaching the 100 % y-axis value. The equation of the curve is:

F(x) = a \* exp (b \* (x - c)) + d

#### 3.1 Tabular Summary of Results.

A tabular summary for results of equations of curves (F(x)) is shown below. Column headings are: A $\rightarrow$ node number, B $\rightarrow$ Value of parameter a, C $\rightarrow$ Value of parameter b, D $\rightarrow$  value of parameter c, E $\rightarrow$  value of parameter d, F $\rightarrow$  reduced chi-square value of plot F(x), G $\rightarrow$  Corresponding figure number.

Α	B	C	D	Е	F	G
7	-164.88	-0.030 704 9	-99.608 4	99.863 9	0.023 083 6	1(b)
8	-121.654	-0.013 789 7	-232.028	100.89	0.009 096 7	2
9	-138.623	-0.017 651 5	-199.515	100.379	0.009 963 31	3
10	-160.461	-0.027 738 9	-122.405	101.174	0.030 148 2	4
11	-160.461	-0.027 738 9	-122.405	101.174	0.030 148 2	5
12	-157.488	-0.025 014 2	-135.432	101.342	0.024 758 6	6
13	-162.673	-0.034 809 7	-113.377	100.488	0.012 853 7	7
14	-161.784	-0.033 265 3	-118.398	100.537	0.011 239 2	8
15	-163.187	-0.035 744 4	-110.35	100.466	0.009 149 71	9
16	-164.572	-0.039 250 9	-101.837	100.346	0.005 458 78	10
17	-166.845	-0.040 532 4	-87.594 3	101.346	0.028 278 6	11
18	-167.173	-0.042 145 4	-85.271 8	101.215	0.019 654 7	12
19	-167.133	-0.041 766 9	-85.616 2	101.26	0.020 204 1	13
20	-167.416	-0.042 821 3	-83.685 9	101.21	0.019 129	14
21	-168.74	-0.054 457 9	-71.594 8	100.564	0.022 603 2	15
22	-168.763	-0.055 539 9	-70.985 5	100.508	0.018 498 8	16
23	-168.183	-0.052 256 3	-75.732 6	100.557	0.020 499 7	17
24	-168.528	-0.054 324	-72.866 6	100.521	0.017 569 2	18
25	-169.094	-0.058 756 3	-67.746 2	100.433	0.013 795 2	19
26	-167.496	-0.045 557 9	-82.260 1	100.98	0.015 401 5	20
27	-166.44	-0.041 590 1	-89.703 6	101.118	0.017 418 8	21
28	-166.059	-0.040 071 5	-92.301 7	101.214	0.024 699 8	22
29	-167.174	-0.043 540 8	-84.807 5	101.102	0.020 550 5	23
30	-167.429	-0.045 432 6	-82.695 3	100.97	0.020 313 8	24
31	-171.721	-0.089 701 4	-43.382	100.258	0.011 023	25
32	-171.552	-0.088 534 8	-44.320 9	100.251	0.008 178 85	26
33	-171.411	-0.086 255	-45.598 3	100.27	0.008 866 24	27
34	-171.411	-0.086 255	-45.598 3	100.27	0.008 866 24	28
35	-171.61	-0.089 200 6	-43.902 8	100.251	0.012 370 3	29
36	-171.697	-0.089 641 1	-43.474 1	100.254	0.008 784 12	30

37 171.355 0.109 151 38.664 3 100.128 0.012 041 3 31   38 171.355 0.109 151 -38.665 7 100.128 0.012 037 5 32   39 171.355 0.109 151 -38.665 7 100.128 0.012 037 5 32   30 171.149 -0.104 02 -40.666 5 100.156 0.015 096 3 33   40 171.132 0621 -0.104 933 -40.463 739 100.143 0.012 664 3 34   41 170.915 -0.099 861 4 -42.819 6 100.163 0.013 719 7 35   42 -171.137 -0.112 748 -38.275 2 100.076 0.011 872 7 36   43 -171.037 -0.153 615 -30.229 3 99.948 7 0.003 789 11 37   44 171.038 0.153 615 -30.225 2 99.948 6 0.003 627 21 39   45 -170.817 -0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 40   47 170.872 -0.147 249 -27.539 7 99.927 6 0.005 074 4 42   48 -171.012											
38   -171.355   -0.109 151   -38.665 7   100.128   0.012 037 5   32     39   -171.149   -0.104 02   -40.666 5   100.156   0.015 096 3   33     40   -171.129   -0.104 933   -40.463 739   100.143   0.012 664 3   34     41   -170.915   -0.099 861 4   -42.819 6   100.163   0.013 719 7   35     42   -171.137   -0.112 748   -38.275 2   100.076   0.011 872 7   36     34   -171.037   -0.153 615   -30.229 3   99.948 7   0.003 789 11   37     44   -171.038   -0.153 615   -30.225 2   99.948 5   0.003 627 21   39     45   -170.817   -0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   40     47   -170.872   -0.144 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.004 059 07   41     48   171.044   0.170 249   -27.539 7   99.926 4   0.005 944 6   43     50   -169.923   -0.114 909 <td< td=""><td>37</td><td>-171.355</td><td>-0.109 151</td><td>-38.664 3</td><td>100.128</td><td>0.012 041 3</td><td>31</td></td<>	37	-171.355	-0.109 151	-38.664 3	100.128	0.012 041 3	31				
39   -171.149   -0.104 02   -40.666 5   100.156   0.015 096 3   33     40 <sup>171.132 0621</sup> -0.104 933   -40.463 739   100.143   0.012 664 3   34     41   -170.915   -0.099 861 4   -42.819 6   100.163   0.013 719 7   35     42   -171.137   -0.112 748   -38.275 2   100.076   0.011 872 7   36     43   -171.037   -0.153 615   -30.225 2   99.948 7   0.003 789 11   37     44   -170.817   -0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   39     45   -170.817   -0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   40     47   -170.872   -0.144 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.004 059 07   41     48   -171.044   -0.170 249   -27.539 7   99.927 6   0.005 074 4   42     49   -171.012   -0.187 778   -25.309 3   99.906 4   0.002 989 51   44     50   -169.923   -0.114 909	38	-171.355	-0.109 151	-38.665 7	100.128	0.012 037 5	32				
40   -171.132 0621   0.0104 933   -40.463 739   100.143   0.012 664 3   34     41   -170.915   -0.099 861 4   -42.819 6   100.163   0.013 719 7   35     42   -171.137   -0.112 748   -38.275 2   100.076   0.011 872 7   36     43   171.037   -0.153 615   -30.229 3   99.948 7   0.003 789 11   37     44   -171.038   -0.153 615   -30.225 2   99.948 6   0.003 793 92   38     45   -170.817   -0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   39     46   170.817   -0.148 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.004 059 07   41     47   -170.872   -0.144 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.005 074 4   42     49   -171.012   0.187 778   -25.309 3   99.906 4   0.005 944 6   43     50   -169.923   -0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   44     51   -169.923   -0.114 909	39	-171.149	-0.104 02	-40.666 5	100.156	0.015 096 3	33				
41   170.915   0.099 861 4   42.819 6   100.163   0.013 719 7   35     42   171.137   0.112 748   -38.275 2   100.076   0.011 872 7   36     43   171.037   0.153 615   -30.229 3   99.948 7   0.003 789 11   37     44   171.037   0.153 615   -30.229 3   99.948 7   0.003 793 92   38     5   170.817   0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   39     46   -170.817   -0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.000 627 21   40     47   -170.872   -0.144 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.004 059 07   41     48   -171.044   -0.170 249   -27.539 7   99.906 4   0.005 944 6   42     50   169.923   -0.114 909   -43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   44     51   -169.923   -0.114 909   -43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     52   -169.631   -0.104 032   -48.373	40	-171.132 0621	-0.104 933	-40.463 739	100.143	0.012 664 3	34				
42 171.137 0.112 748 -38.275 2 100.076 0.011 872 7 36   43 171.037 0.153 615 -30.229 3 99.948 7 0.003 789 11 37   44 -171.038 -0.153 615 -30.225 2 99.948 6 0.003 793 92 38   45 -170.817 -0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 39   46 170.817 -0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 40   47 -170.872 -0.144 242 -32.470 1 99.957 6 0.004 059 07 41   48 -171.012 -0.187 778 -25.309 3 99.906 4 0.005 944 6 43   50 -169.923 -0.114 909 -43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 44   51 -169.923 -0.114 909 -43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 45   52 -169.631 -0.014 032 -48.373 6 100.116 0.003 482 7 46   53 -169.923 -0.114 909 -43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 45   54 -169.937	41	-170.915	-0.099 861 4	-42.819 6	100.163	0.013 719 7	35				
43 171.037 0.153 615 30.229 3 99.948 7 0.003 789 11 37   44 171.038 0.153 615 -30.225 2 99.948 6 0.003 793 92 38   45 -170.817 -0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 39   46 -170.817 -0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 40   47 -170.872 -0.144 242 -32.470 1 99.957 6 0.004 059 07 41   48 -171.012 -0.187 778 -25.309 3 99.906 4 0.005 944 6 43   50 -169.923 -0.114 909 -43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 44   51 -169.923 -0.114 909 -43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 45   52 -169.631 -0.040 032 -48.373 6 100.116 0.003 482 7 46   53 -169.923 -0.114 909 -43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 47   54 -169.937 -0.087 716 -51.464 8 100.116 0.001 740 02 48   55 -169.38	42	-171.137	-0.112 748	-38.275 2	100.076	0.011 872 7	36				
44 171.038 0.153 615 -30.225 2 99.948 6 0.003 793 92 38   45 170.817 0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 39   46 170.817 0.138 435 -33.818 5 99.968 5 0.003 627 21 40   47 170.872 0.144 242 -32.470 1 99.957 6 0.004 059 07 41   48 171.044 0.170 249 -27.539 7 99.927 6 0.005 074 4 42   49 -171.012 0.187 778 -25.309 3 99.906 4 0.005 944 6 43   50 -169.923 -0.114 909 43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 44   51 -169.923 -0.114 909 43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 45   52 -169.631 -0.104 032 -48.373 6 100.116 0.003 482 7 46   53 -169.923 -0.114 909 43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 47   54 -169.937 -0.087 716 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 49   55 -169.387	43	-171.037	-0.153 615	-30.229 3	99.948 7	0.003 789 11	37				
45   170.817   0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   39     46   170.817   0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   40     47   170.817   0.138 435   -33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   40     47   170.817   0.144 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.004 059 07   41     48   171.044   0.170 249   -27.539 7   99.927 6   0.005 074 4   42     9   171.012   0.187 778   -25.309 3   99.906 4   0.005 944 6   43     50   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   44     51   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   45     52   169.631   -0.104 032   48.373 6   100.116   0.003 482 7   46     53   -169.923   -0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   -169.75   -0.114 836   44.742 9	44	-171.038	-0.153 615	-30.225 2	99.948 6	0.003 793 92	38				
46   170.817   0.138 435   33.818 5   99.968 5   0.003 627 21   40     47   170.872   0.144 242   -32.470 1   99.957 6   0.004 059 07   41     48   171.044   -0.170 249   -27.539 7   99.927 6   0.005 074 4   42     49   171.012   0.187 778   -25.309 3   99.906 4   0.005 944 6   43     50   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   44     51   169.923   -0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   45     52   169.631   -0.104 032   -48.373 6   100.116   0.003 482 7   46     53   -169.923   -0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   -169.75   -0.114 836   44.742 9   100.066   0.001 740 02   48     55   169.387   -0.098 721 6   -51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   49     56   -169.387   -0.098 721 6   -51.464 8 <td>45</td> <td>-170.817</td> <td>-0.138 435</td> <td>-33.818 5</td> <td>99.968 5</td> <td>0.003 627 21</td> <td>39</td>	45	-170.817	-0.138 435	-33.818 5	99.968 5	0.003 627 21	39				
47 -170.872 -0.144 242 -32.470 1 99.957 6 0.004 059 07 41   48 -171.044 -0.170 249 -27.539 7 99.927 6 0.005 074 4 42   49 -171.012 -0.187 778 -25.309 3 99.906 4 0.005 944 6 43   50 -169.923 -0.114 909 +43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 44   51 -169.623 -0.114 909 +43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 45   52 -169.631 -0.104 032 -48.373 6 100.116 0.003 482 7 46   53 -169.75 -0.114 836 -44.742 9 100.066 0.001 740 02 48   55 -169.387 -0.098 721 6 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 49   56 -169.387 -0.098 721 6 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 50   Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	46	-170.817	-0.138 435	-33.818 5	99.968 5	0.003 627 21	40				
48 -171.044 -0.170 249 -27.539 7 99.927 6 0.005 074 4 42   49 -171.012 -0.187 778 -25.309 3 99.906 4 0.005 944 6 43   50 -169.923 -0.114 909 +43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 44   51 -169.923 -0.114 909 +43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 45   52 -169.631 -0.104 032 -48.373 6 100.116 0.003 482 7 46   53 169.923 -0.114 909 +43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 47   54 -169.631 -0.104 032 -48.373 6 100.116 0.003 482 7 46   54 -169.75 -0.114 836 -44.742 9 100.066 0.001 740 02 48   55 -169.387 -0.098 721 6 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 49   56 -169.387 -0.098 721 6 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 50   Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	47	-170.872	-0.144 242	-32.470 1	99.957 6	0.004 059 07	41				
49   171.012   0.187 778   25.309 3   99.906 4   0.005 944 6   43     50   169.923   0.114 909   +43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   44     51   -169.923   -0.114 909   +43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   45     52   -169.631   -0.104 032   +48.373 6   100.116   0.003 482 7   46     53   169.923   -0.114 909   +43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   169.75   -0.114 836   -44.742 9   100.066   0.001 740 02   48     56   -169.387   -0.098 721 6   -51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	48	-171.044	-0.170 249	-27.539 7	99.927 6	0.005 074 4	42				
50   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   44     51   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   45     52   169.631   0.104 032   48.373 6   100.116   0.003 482 7   46     53   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   169.75   0.114 836   44.742 9   100.066   0.001 740 02   48     55   169.387   0.098 721 6   51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	49	-171.012	-0.187 778	-25.309 3	99.9064	0.005 944 6	43				
51   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   45     52   169.631   0.104 032   48.373 6   100.116   0.003 482 7   46     53   169.923   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   169.75   0.114 909   43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     55   169.75   0.114 836   44.742 9   100.066   0.001 740 02   48     55   169.387   0.098 721 6   51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	50	-169.923	-0.114 909	-43.710 1	100.088	0.002 989 51	44				
52   169.631   0.104 032   -48.373 6   100.116   0.003 482 7   46     53   169.923   -0.114 909   -43.710 1   100.088   0.002 989 51   47     54   -169.75   -0.114 836   -44.742 9   100.066   0.001 740 02   48     55   -169.387   -0.098 721 6   -51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	51	-169.923	-0.114 909	-43.710 1	100.088	0.002 989 51	45				
53 169.923 -0.114 909 43.710 1 100.088 0.002 989 51 47   54 169.75 -0.114 836 44.742 9 100.066 0.001 740 02 48   55 169.387 -0.098 721 6 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 49   56 169.387 -0.098 721 6 -51.464 8 100.119 0.004 033 25 50   Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	52	-169.631	-0.104 032	-48.373 6	100.116	0.003 482 7	46				
54   169.75   0.114   836   44.742   9   100.066   0.001   740   02   48     55   169.387   0.098   721   6   51.464   100.119   0.004   033   25   49     56   169.387   0.098   721   6   51.464   100.119   0.004   033   25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	53	-169.923	-0.114 909	-43.710 1	100.088	0.002 989 51	47				
55   169.387   0.098 721 6   51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   49     56   169.387   0.098 721 6   51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	54	-169.75	-0.114 836	-44.742 9	100.066	0.001 740 02	48				
56   169.387   0.098 721 6   51.464 8   100.119   0.004 033 25   50     Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	55	-169.387	-0.098 721 6	-51.464 8	100.119	0.004 033 25	49				
Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of	56	-169.387	-0.098 721 6	-51.464 8	100.119	0.004 033 25	50				
-		Table 1: summary of results for Min_CBR_Dist equations of									

curves node numbers 7-56

#### 3.2 Graphical Plots for Results Obtained.

This analysis is performed in gnuplot in Linux. x-axis distance is in meters.





Figure 1(a): % CBR against min\_CBR\_distance : node\_num 7



Figure 1(b): % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance : node\_number 7 2. Node Number 8





Figure 2: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 8 3. Node Number 9



Figure 3: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 9 4. Node Number 10



Figure 4: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 10

5. Node Number 11



6. Node Number 12



Figure 6: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 12 7. Node Number 13



Figure 7: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 13 8. Node Number 14



Figure 8: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 14 9. Node Number 15









Figure 10: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 16 11. Node Number 17



Figure 11: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 17 12. Node Number 18



Figure 12: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 18 13. Node Number 19



14. Node Number 20









Figure 15: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 21 16. Node Number 22





Figure 16: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 22 17. Node Number 23









Figure 18: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 24 19. Node Number 25



Figure 19: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 25 20. Node Number 26



Figure 20: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 26 21. Node Number 27



22. Node Number 28







Figure 23: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 29 24. Node Number 30



Figure 24: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 30 25. Node Number 31

cariation of S min.cbr.distance rade.









Figure 26: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 32 27. Node Number 33



Figure 27: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 33 28. Node Number 34



Figure 28: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 34 29. Node Number 35



Figure 29: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 35 30. Node Number 36







**Figure 31:** % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 37 32. Node Number 38

variation of G win\_dr\_distance sody\_so



Figure 32: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 38 33. Node Number 39









Figure 34: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 40 35. Node Number 41



Figure 35: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 41 36. Node Number 42



Figure 36: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 42 37. Node Number 43



Figure 37: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 43 38. Node Number 44



Figure 38: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 44 39. Node Number 45





-

vertation of (\* sinjdy\_distance node\_rue



Figure 40: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 46 41. Node Number 47









Figure 42: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 48 43. Node Number 49



Figure 43: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 49 44. Node Number 50



Figure 44: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 50 45. Node Number 51



Figure 45: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 51 46. Node Number 52









Figure 47: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 53 48. Node Number 54





Figure 48: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 54 49. Node Number 55









Figure 50: % CBR ≤ min\_CBR\_distance: node\_num 56

## 4. Conclusion.

This piece of study was aimed at studying another facet of distance coverage, rounded to nearest meter, by packets in ubicomp in situation of MANET transmission over varying Node densities. This work extends from a previous work [26, 27].

More precisely here, a metric Min\_CBR\_Dist, to assess the trend of minimum hop distance by packets in a ubicomp topography with varying node densities, has been developed. The experimental results presented here remain empirical based. The model put forward for cumulative % CBR against Min\_CBR\_Dist is the exponential distribution model.

The assumptions stated in previous paper [21] hold, e.g availability of lightweight algorithms for location-aware transmission in mobile environments, lightweight MAUC OS supports for efficient binding/unbinding of MANET nodes and appropriate multi-threading/parallel communication in modules of MANET nodes.

The further work identified may include: trend analyses of parameters of equations for the model, formulating methods of predictability for metric Min\_CBR\_Dist and its trend and reporting observations of certain critical values identified. The purposes of this metric is also open for refinement together with its applicability in MANET transmission protocols. Development of further sub-component metrics for metric PPD remain desirable.

### References

- [1] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Towards Dependable Pervasive Systems-A Position and Vision Paper, CEET 2014
- [2] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Savings achievable with Location-aware Node-to-Node Transmission in UbiComp, CEET 2014

- [3] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Savings achievable with Location-aware Node-to-Node Transmission in UbiComp Using Location Refresh Intervals, CEET 2014
- [4] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Savings achievable with Location-aware Transmission in UbiComp Using Relays, CEET 2014
- [5] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Mathematical modeling of need of exact number of relays to ensure seamless mobility in mobile computing, CEET 2014
- [6] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Modelling of need for multiple relays for ensuring seamless mobility, CEET 2014
- M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Investigation of prominence of placements of relays in a ubicomp topography,
- [8] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of energy savings achievable with location-aware transmission in ubicomp using optimised number of relays.
- [9] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Investigation of Prominence of Placements of Optimised Number of Relays in a Ubicomp Topography using Location-Aware Transmission, CEET 2015.
- [10] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission, CEET 2015.
- [11] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission using Location Refresh Intervals, CEET 2015.
- [12] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission using Uniformly Placed Relays, CEET 2015.
- [13] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission Using Optimally Placed Relays, CEET 2015.
- [14] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Sender Node Energy Savings Achievable with Location-Aware MANET Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [15] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Node Energy Savings Achievable with Location-Aware MANET Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [16] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Sender Node Extra Energy Savings Achievable in MANET Against Direct Node-to-Node Transmission Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [17] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Node Extra Energy Savings Achievable in MANET against Direct Node-to-Node Transmission Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [18] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Consumption Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [19] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Minimum Energy Consumption Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [20] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Maximum Energy Consumption Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016
- [21] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Energy Consumption Fairness Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016



- [22] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Energy Consumption Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.
- [23] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Minimum Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.
- [24] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Maximum Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.
- [25] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Sender Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.
- [26] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Distance Travelled by packets in MANETs using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.
- [27] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Maximum CBR Distance Travelled by packets in MANETs using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.
- [28] Markus Bylund and Zary Segall, Towards seamless mobility with personal servers, 2004.
- [29] Masugi Inoue, Mikio Hasegawa, Nobuo Ryoki and Hiroyuki Morikawa, Context-Based Seamless Network and Application Control, 2004
- [30] Xiang Song, Umakishore Ramachandran, MobiGo: A Middleware for Seamless Mobility, College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA, August 2007
- [31] Budzisz, Ferrús, R., Brunstrom A., Grinnemo, K, Fracchia, R., Galante, G., and Casadevall, F. Towards transport-layer mobility: Evolution of SCTP multihoming, March 2008
- [32] Paul Dourish & Genevieve Bell, Divining a digital future, 2011.
- [33] Xiang Song, Seamless Mobility In Ubiquitous Computing Environments, PhD Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, August 2008
- [34] Kevin O Mahony, Jian Liang, Kieran Delaney, User-Centric Personalization and Autonomous Reconfiguration Across Ubiquitous Computing Environments, NIMBUS Centre Cork Institute of Technology, Cork, Ireland, UBICOMM 2012
- [35] Pablo Vidales, Seamless mobility in 4G systems, *Technical Report, University of Cambridge*, Computer Laboratory, Number 656, November 2005
- [36] João Pedro Sousa and David Garlan, Aura: An Architectural Framework for User Mobility in Ubiquitous Computing Environments, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA, August 2002
- [37] Dennis Lupiana, Ciaran O'Driscoll, Fredrick Mtenzi, Defining Smart Space in the Context of Ubiquitous Computing, Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland, Special Issue on ICIT 2009 Conference - Web and Agent Systems, 2009
- [38] N.S.V.Shet1, Prof.K.Chandrasekaran2 and Prof. K.C.Shet3, WAP Based Seamless Roaming In Urban Environment with Wise Handoff Technique, International Journal of UbiComp (IJU), Vol.1, No.4, October 2010
- [39] Yipeng Yu Dan He Weidong Hua Shijian Li Yu Qi Yueming Wang Gang Pan, FlyingBuddy2: A Braincontrolled Assistant for the Handicapped, Zhejiang University, UbiComp'12, September 5-8, 2012.
- [40] Jing Su, James Scott, Pan Hui, Jon Crowcroft, Eyal de Lara Christophe Diot, Ashvin Goel, Meng How Lim, and Eben Upton, Haggle: Seamless Networking for Mobile Applications, 2007
- [41] Rui Han, Moustafa M. Ghanem, Li Guo, Yike Guo\*, Michelle Osmond, Enabling cost-aware and adaptive elasticity of multi-tier cloud applications, Future Generation Computer Systems, 2012
- [42] Byrav Ramamurthy, K. K. Ramakrishnan, Rakesh K. Sinha, Cost and Reliability Considerations in Designing the Next-Generation IP over WDM Backbone Networks, 2012.
- [43] Bhavish Aggarwal, Aditya Akella, Ashok Anand, Athula Balachandran, Pushkar Chitnis, Chitra Muthukrishnan, Ram

Ramjee and George Varghese, EndRE: An End-System Redundancy Elimination Service for Enterprises, NSDI 2010, San Jose, CA

- [44] Ashok Anand, Vyas Sekar and Aditya Akella, SmartRE: An Architecture for Coordinated Network-wide Redundancy Elimination, SIGCOMM 2009, Barcelona, Spain
- [45] John Breeden II, "Smart-phone battery life could double without better batteries", Nov 14, 2012
- [46] Andy Boxall, "When will your phone battery last as long as your kindle", December 5, 2012.
- [47] Imielinski, T. and Navas, J.C. (1999). GPS-based geographic addressing, routing, and resource discovery. *Comms. ACM*, Vol. 42, No. 4, pp. 86-92.
- [48] Hightower, J. and Borriello, G. (2001). Location Systems for Ubiquitous Computing. *IEEE Computer*, Vol. 34, No. 8, August, pp. 57-66.
- [49] Harter, A., Hopper, A., Steggles, P., Ward, A. and Webster, P. (2002). The Anatomy of a Context-Aware Application. Wireless Networks, Vol. 8, No. 2-3, Mar-May, pp. 187-197.
- [50] Hightower, J., Brumitt, B. and Borriello, G. (2002). The Location Stack: A Layered Model for Location in Ubiquitous Computing. Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems & Applications (WMCSA 2002), Callicoon, NY, USA, June, pp. 22-28.
- [51] Graumann, D., Lara, W., Hightower, J. and Borriello, G. (2003). Real-world implementation of the Location Stack: The Universal Location Framework. *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems & Applications (WMCSA 2003)*, Monterey, CA, USA, October, pp. 122-128.
- [52] Ko, Y., & Vaidya, N. H. (2000). Location-aided routing (LAR) in mobile ad hoc networks. *Wireless Networks*, 6(4), 307-321.
- [53] Liao, W.-H., Tseng, Y.-C., & Sheu, J.-P. (2001). GRID: a fully location-aware routing protocol for mobile ad hoc networks. *Telecommunication Systems*, 18(1), 37-60.
- [54] Kuhn, F., Wattenhofer, R., Zhang, Y., & Zollinger, A. (2003). Geometric ad-hoc routing: of theory and practice. In *Proceedings of the ACM (PODC'03)* (pp. 63-72).
- [55] Jiang, X., & Camp, T. (2002). Review of geocasting protocols for a mobile ad hoc network. In Proceedings of the *Grace Hopper Celebration (GHC)*.
- [56] Ko, Y. & Vaidya, N. H. (1999). Geocasting in mobile ad hoc networks: location-based multicast algorithms. In *Proceedings of the IEEE (WMCSA'99)* (pp. 101).
- [57] Mauve, M., Fuler, H., Widmer, J., & Lang, T. (2003). Position-based multicast routing for mobile ad-hoc networks (Technical Report TR-03-004). Department of Computer Science, University of Mannheim.
- [58] Xu, Y., Heidemann, J., & Estrin, D. (2001). Geographyinformed energy conservation for adhoc routing. In *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE (MOBICOM'01)* (pp. 70-84).
- [59] Hu, Y.-C., Perrig, A., & Johnson, D. (2003). Packet leashes: a defense against wormhole attacks in wireless ad hoc networks. In *Proceedings of the INFOCOM' 03* (pp. 1976-1986).
- [60] Patwari, N., Hero III, A. O., Perkins, M., Correal, N. S., & O'Dea, R. J. (2003). Relative location estimation in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 51(8), 2137-2148.
- [61] Baldauf, M., Dustdar, S., & Rosenberg, F. (2007). A Survey on Context Aware Systems. *International Journal of Ad Hoc* and Ubiquitous Computing, Inderscience Publishers. forthcoming. Pre-print from: http://www.vitalab.tuwien.ac.at/~florian/ papers/ijahuc2007.pdf
- [62] Hong, D., Chiu, D.K.W., & Shen, V.Y. (2005). Requirements elicitation for the design of context-aware applications in a ubiquitous environment. In *Proceedings of*



ICEC'05 (pp. 590-596).

- [63] Neeraj Tantubay, Dinesh Ratan Gautam and Mukesh Kumar Dhariwal, A Review of Power Conservation in Wireless Mobile Ad hoc Network (MANET)", International Journal of computer Science Issues, Vol 8, Issue 4, No 1, July 2011.
- [64] Wenrui Zhao, Mostafa Ammar and Ellen Zegura, "A Message Ferrying Approach for Data Delivery in Sparse Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", *MobiHoc '04*, May 24–26, 2004, Roppongi, Japan.

About Author (s):

Associate Professor Nawaz Mohamudally works at University of Technology, Mauritius (UTM) and has undertaken supervision of MPhil/PhD Students for many years.



M. Kaleem Galamali is a part-time student (achieved M Phil Transfer on 28.10.2014, currently PhD student) at UTM under supervision of A.P. Nawaz Mohamudally.

