# Model of Sender Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.

M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY

*Abstract* – **MANET transmission is one solution towards achieving routing in a ubicomp environment and if well designed, it can help in energy containment in ubicomp [60]. Application of location-aware transmission strategies may enhance energy management and conservation and hence remain a serious topic of research. MANET nodes adopt an automated collective load sharing transmission strategy. Hence MANET nodes are themselves the infrastructure nodes. Situations of completely equitable transmission (Fairness) load sharing will be very rarely reached. However, one criteria which can serve for a sender to decide to start a transmission or not will be: "How much Fairness is being reached by other senders in the ubicomp?" This feature will require either recording of previous sender's Fairness Proportion or an empirical predictability model (or both). Such questions remain determining factors of success of transmission in cooperative functionality.**

**Previous studies in this direction were made [22] whereby the metrics BFEA and ECFP were devised. Development of two derived metrics followed: Min_FP [23] and Max_FP [24]. In this paper, another metric S_FP, derived from ECFP, is defined and its corresponding trends over varying node densities are presented.**

**This paper consolidates further the area of modelling for energy management in ubicomp for designers to assess Fairness characteristics and subsequently better shape future ubicomp technology. This paper is a follow-up of previous research [1-24].**

Key terms: Ubicomp- Ubiquitous Computing, MAUC- Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing, MANET- Mobile Adhoc Network, BFEA- Basic Fairness Energy Amount, ECFP- Energy Consumption Fairness Proportion, Min_FP- Minimum Fairness Proportion, Max_FP- Maximum Fairness Proportion, S_FP- Sender Fairness Proportion, CBR- Constant Bit Rate.

M. Kaleem GALAMALI,
University of Technology Mauritius (student)
Mauritius

Assoc. Prof Nawaz Mohamudally
University of Technology Mauritius,
Mauritius

# 1. Introduction

Among factors affecting energy consumption in MAUC [21], distance coverages and MANET transmission remain two predominant factors. As mentioned in previous paper [24] transmission is distributed cooperatively among MANET route nodes. In that same paper [24], mention is made that situation of completely equitable distribution will be rarely reached but studies benchmarked against this situation remain important. Hence, devising appropriate corresponding metrics and knowledge of their trends remain desirable.

The work presented here, also is empirical and is built over previous work [22]. It follows from the statement in previous paper [23] that ECFP remain a wide-scope metric from which other sub-component metrics could be formulated. Each such sub-component metric may have specific characteristics that may be utilised for specific decision-making.

The key contributions of this paper is firstly, the development of a fourth metric S_FP extracted from a first metric ECFP [22]. The definition and rationale of metric S_FP is put forward. Secondly, the model of trend is put forward for the metric S_FP with results for varying node densities from 7 until 56 in a topography of 300 x 300 m$^2$. The model proposed is mostly the decreasing exponential model with some linear model support. The rest of this paper is organised as follows: section 2- New Derived Metric – Sender Fairness Proportion, section 3- S_FP Trend Assessment over Varying Node Numbers, 4- Conclusion and References.

# 2. New Derived Metric – Sender Fairness Proportion.

Following definition of ECFP given in previous paper [22], Min_FP [23] and Max_FP [24], S_FP will simply be the value of ECFP reached by the sender for a CBR.

Usually, S_FP values have to be positive. It could also be 0 in the following cases:
i.   The sender is extremely close to the next neighbour node.
ii.  The sender node has connected to an infrastructure support whereby the node is charging up and giving data (via cables) to the support part for further transmission.

Broadly, four ranges of values for S_FP are identified:
i.   S_FP value of 1 or very close to 1: It can imply that from the perspective of the sender, there is

fair chances of getting good cooperation from the other nodes.

ii. S_FP of positive value significantly below 1: it can imply that the topographic nodes cooperation is high; the smaller than 1 the value, the higher the cooperation of topographic nodes.

iii. S_FP value moderately higher than 1: can depict fair contribution from infrastructure nodes.

iv. S_FP value erroneously higher than 1: it can depict that MANET topography has not been to the favour of the sender node and policies for handling such situations must be formulated such as delaying sending of next CBR to allow for MANET topography change.

In general, S_FP value closer to 1 will depict high chances of healthy MANET conditions whereby distribution of workload is quite uniform across nodes present in the topography.

Again, this metric, if appropriately gauged or even predicted, may also serve purposes elaborated in previous paper [21]. One specific purpose here is the decision from sender to initiate transmission or not, based on range in which the predicted S_FP value falls.

# 3. S_FP - Trend Assessment over Varying Node Numbers.

### 3.0 Major Observations.

In all the plots obtained, the minimum value of S_FP obtained has been at 0.0. Of course, this is possible because it is a rounded value varying from 0.0 to just less than 0.05.

The maximum value of S_FP obtained corresponds to the node number in the experiment.

In this set of plots obtained, a peak value of S_FP is observed between 0.0 and 1.0. Previous to the maximum point, the tendency is convincingly linear with equation of form:

```
F(x) = d * x + f
```

As from the peak value onwards, the trend is convincingly exponentially decreasing with equation of the form:

```
G(x) = a * exp (b * (x – c))
```

### 3.1 Tabular Summary of Results.

A tabular summary for results of equations of curves (F(x) and G(x)) is shown below. Column headings are: A→node number, B→Value of parameter d, C→Value of parameter f, D→ reduced chi-square of plot F(x), E→ S_FP Value at Peak Value, F→ value of parameter

a, G→ value of parameter b, H→ value of parameter c, I→ reduced chi-square value of plot G(x), F→ Corresponding figure number.

| A | B | C | D | E | F |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | 10.589 569 | 0.249 433 | 0.637 602 | 0.5 | 3.079 694 |
| 8 | 6.890 44 | 0.397 62 | 0.780 63 | 0.6 | 1.945 15 |
| 9 | 4.465 61 | 1.194 | 0.192 197 | 0.9 | 1.592 3 |
| 10 | 2.511 34 | 3.800 45 | 0.174 378 | 0.7 | 1.920 34 |
| 11 | 4.269 84 | 3.655 56 | 0.126 136 | 0.5 | 1.131 03 |
| 12 | 3.163 75 | 4.125 6 | 0.138 568 | 0.5 | 2.321 53 |
| 13 | 8.492 06 | 2.809 52 | 0.268 519 | 0.4 | 2.002 42 |
| 14 | 7.492 06 | 3.365 08 | 0.108 944 | 0.4 | 1.033 12 |
| 15 | 6.825 4 | 3.515 87 | 0.194 13 | 0.4 | 2.275 16 |
| 16 | 7.126 98 | 3.341 27 | 0.954 623 | 0.5 | 2.209 52 |
| 17 | 6.301 59 | 3.747 62 | 0.437 045 | 0.5 | 2.199 68 |
| 18 | 7.222 22 | 3.576 19 | 0.633 266 | 0.5 | 2.200 16 |
| 19 | 7.841 27 | 3.460 32 | 0.328 983 | 0.5 | 2.192 08 |
| 20 | 7.936 51 | 3.514 29 | 0.288 503 | 0.5 | 2.189 85 |
| 21 | 6.968 25 | 3.830 16 | 0.427 135 | 0.5 | 2.185 43 |
| 22 | 6.238 1 | 4.179 37 | 0.354 237 | 0.5 | 2.181 5 |
| 23 | 6.761 9 | 4.130 16 | 0.329 588 | 0.5 | 2.178 91 |
| 24 | 7.285 71 | 4.093 65 | 0.301 092 | 0.5 | 2.173 21 |
| 25 | 8.158 73 | 3.876 19 | 0.082 103 | 0.5 | 2.172 73 |
| 26 | 11.063 5 | 2.750 79 | 0.744 629 | 0.4 | 2.191 12 |
| 27 | 10.603 2 | 2.974 6 | 0.491 005 | 0.5 | 2.190 18 |
| 28 | 10.587 3 | 3.173 02 | 1.375 42 | 0.5 | 2.186 9 |
| 29 | 8.634 92 | 3.542 86 | 2.533 94 | 0.4 | 2.189 04 |
| 30 | 10.095 2 | 3.231 75 | 3.271 15 | 0.4 | 2.185 88 |
| 31 | 12.777 8 | 2.338 1 | 3.156 82 | 0.4 | 2.194 21 |
| 32 | 12.936 5 | 2.385 71 | 1.442 87 | 0.4 | 2.187 29 |
| 33 | 12.968 3 | 2.487 3 | 2.028 21 | 0.4 | 2.181 79 |
| 34 | 12.873 | 2.541 27 | 2.154 96 | 0.4 | 2.182 55 |
| 35 | 13.904 8 | 2.2 | 1.522 94 | 0.4 | 2.187 2 |
| 36 | 11.730 2 | 2.712 7 | 1.701 95 | 0.4 | 2.190 81 |
| 37 | 11.319 3 | 2.954 44 | 3.538 46 | 0.4 | 2.175 69 |
| 38 | 11.428 6 | 2.965 08 | 2.928 93 | 0.4 | 2.175 74 |
| 39 | 11.698 4 | 2.846 03 | 3.136 67 | 0.4 | 2.176 46 |
| 40 | 11.761 9 | 2.753 97 | 3.365 64 | 0.4 | 2.174 91 |
| 41 | 14.111 1 | 2.185 71 | 2.087 6 | 0.4 | 2.172 04 |
| 42 | 13.063 5 | 2.446 03 | 1.865 89 | 0.4 | 2.173 4 |
| 43 | 13.936 5 | 2.136 51 | 1.392 42 | 0.4 | 2.177 08 |
| 44 | 13.516 5 | 2.250 64 | 1.561 39 | 0.4 | 2.175 59 |
| 45 | 12.158 7 | 2.622 22 | 3.266 52 | 0.4 | 2.173 49 |
| 46 | 11.238 1 | 2.8 | 2.038 33 | 0.4 | 2.178 19 |
| 47 | 13.968 3 | 2.161 9 | 1.345 33 | 0.4 | 2.172 02 |
| 48 | 13.031 7 | 2.512 7 | 2.709 76 | 0.4 | 2.166 95 |
| 49 | 14.047 6 | 2.242 86 | 2.782 42 | 0.4 | 2.164 11 |
| 50 | 13.523 8 | 2.726 98 | 1.101 6 | 0.4 | 2.159 99 |
| 51 | 13.396 8 | 2.765 08 | 0.765 063 | 0.5 | 2.160 19 |
| 52 | 14.317 5 | 2.631 75 | 0.500 109 | 0.5 | 2.158 81 |
| 53 | 12.523 8 | 3.001 59 | 0.554 691 | 0.5 | 2.163 08 |
| 54 | 12.285 7 | 3.092 06 | 0.523 591 | 0.4 | 2.159 45 |
| 55 | 12.079 4 | 3.185 71 | 0.759 881 | 0.4 | 2.157 07 |
| 56 | 11.920 6 | 3.207 94 | 0.573 873 | 0.5 | 2.161 59 |

**Table 1(a): summary of results for S_Fp equations of curves node numbers 7-56**

| A | G | H | I | J |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | -0.746 475 5 | 1.501 816 0 | 0.165 231 | 1 |
| 8 | -0.698 893 | 1.967 6 | 0.124 321 | 2 |
| 9 | -0.889 544 | 2.151 02 | 0.126 68 | 3 |
| 10 | -0.986 083 | 1.757 75 | 0.060 821 3 | 4 |
| 11 | -0.939 24 | 2.299 78 | 0.074 541 7 | 5 |
| 12 | -0.921 462 | 1.525 92 | 0.086 310 9 | 6 |
| 13 | -0.942 288 | 1.685 07 | 0.103 038 | 7 |
| 14 | -0.943 906 | 2.368 41 | 0.124 363 | 8 |
| 15 | -1.028 32 | 1.502 | 0.089 695 2 | 9 |
| 16 | -1.103 93 | 1.520 87 | 0.070 194 4 | 10 |
| 17 | -1.148 55 | 1.498 5 | 0.071 771 | 11 |
| 18 | -1.122 86 | 1.499 92 | 0.038 775 4 | 12 |
| 19 | -1.179 06 | 1.480 97 | 0.052 865 8 | 13 |
| 20 | -1.190 45 | 1.475 75 | 0.039 335 7 | 14 |
| 21 | -1.280 81 | 1.462 96 | 0.066 702 7 | 15 |
| 22 | -1.278 | 1.454 87 | 0.040 988 2 | 16 |
| 23 | -1.302 42 | 1.448 71 | 0.080 096 2 | 17 |

| 24 | -1.334 34 | 1.434 64 | 0.054 011 2 | 18 |
| 25 | -1.310 4 | 1.434 66 | 0.065 133 7 | 19 |
| 26 | -1.197 57 | 1.478 72 | 0.063 402 5 | 20 |
| 27 | -1.220 96 | 1.476 05 | 0.096 735 8 | 21 |
| 28 | -1.220 7 | 1.468 88 | 0.093 890 4 | 22 |
| 29 | -1.187 | 1.474 46 | 0.060 983 7 | 23 |
| 30 | -1.209 22 | 1.466 89 | 0.048 024 5 | 24 |
| 31 | -1.150 14 | 1.486 48 | 0.078 356 4 | 25 |
| 32 | -1.236 12 | 1.469 13 | 0.070 430 8 | 26 |
| 33 | -1.263 44 | 1.456 01 | 0.072 883 3 | 27 |
| 34 | -1.258 69 | 1.458 04 | 0.078 455 8 | 28 |
| 35 | -1.245 12 | 1.468 76 | 0.072 076 7 | 29 |
| 36 | -1.211 18 | 1.477 83 | 0.100 338 | 30 |
| 37 | -1.347 8 | 1.440 6 | 0.077 813 2 | 31 |
| 38 | -1.349 21 | 1.440 94 | 0.050 534 3 | 32 |
| 39 | -1.348 77 | 1.442 92 | 0.072 857 6 | 33 |
| 40 | -1.379 39 | 1.438 11 | 0.101 955 | 34 |
| 41 | -1.421 74 | 1.430 33 | 0.052 731 4 | 35 |
| 42 | -1.399 81 | 1.435 03 | 0.046 057 1 | 36 |
| 43 | -1.376 79 | 1.443 88 | 0.050 762 8 | 37 |
| 44 | -1.400 85 | 1.439 24 | 0.049 385 8 | 38 |
| 45 | -1.409 66 | 1.433 8 | 0.132 47 | 39 |
| 46 | -1.369 74 | 1.446 32 | 0.085 354 9 | 40 |
| 47 | -1.432 43 | 1.429 62 | 0.049 126 6 | 41 |
| 48 | -1.459 51 | 1.416 64 | 0.074 021 1 | 42 |
| 49 | -1.487 06 | 1.408 14 | 0.118 775 | 43 |
| 50 | -1.447 57 | 1.401 92 | 0.083 946 7 | 44 |
| 51 | -1.446 44 | 1.402 55 | 0.121 602 | 45 |
| 52 | -1.454 22 | 1.399 05 | 0.135 121 | 46 |
| 53 | -1.416 83 | 1.410 58 | 0.124 408 | 47 |
| 54 | -1.455 8 | 1.400 99 | 0.141 265 | 48 |
| 55 | -1.460 46 | 1.395 37 | 0.147 395 | 49 |
| 56 | -1.416 23 | 1.407 66 | 0.109 439 | 50 |

**Table 1(b): summary of results for S_Fp equations of curves node numbers 7-56**

### 3.2 Graphical Plots for Results Obtained.

This analysis is performed in gnuplot in Linux.

*1. Node Number 7*



**Figure 1: % CBR for S_FP node_number 7**

*2. Node Number 8*



**Figure 2: % CBR for S_FP node_number 8**

*3. Node Number 9*



**Figure 3: % CBR for S_FP node_number 9**

*4. Node Number 10*



**Figure 4: % CBR for S_FP node_number 10**

*5. Node Number 11*



**Figure 5: % CBR for S_FP node_number 11**

*6. Node Number 12*



**Figure 6: % CBR for S_FP node_number 12**

*7. Node Number 13*

24

**Figure 7: % CBR for S_FP node_number 13**

*8. Node Number 14*

**Figure 8: % CBR for S_FP node_number 14**

*9. Node Number 15*

**Figure 9: % CBR for S_FP node_number 15**

*10. Node Number 16*

**Figure 10: % CBR for S_FP node_number 16**

*11. Node Number 17*

**Figure 11: % CBR for S_FP node_number 17**

*12. Node Number 18*

**Figure 12: % CBR for S_FP node_number 18**

*13. Node Number 19*

**Figure 13: % CBR for S_FP node_number 19**

*14. Node Number 20*

**Figure 14: % CBR for S_FP node_number 20**

*15. Node Number 21*

25

**Figure 15: % CBR for S_FP node_number 21**

*16. Node Number 22*



**Figure 16: % CBR for S_FP node_number 22**

*17. Node Number 23*



**Figure 17: % CBR for S_FP node_number 23**

*18. Node Number 24*



**Figure 18: % CBR for S_FP node_number 24**

*19. Node Number 25*



**Figure 19: % CBR for S_FP node_number 25**

*20. Node Number 26*



**Figure 20: % CBR for S_FP node_number 26**

*21. Node Number 27*



**Figure 21: % CBR for S_FP node_number 27**

*22. Node Number 28*



**Figure 22: % CBR for S_FP node_number 28**

*23. Node Number 29*

**Figure 23: % CBR for S_FP node_number 29**

*24. Node Number 30*



**Figure 24: % CBR for S_FP node_number 30**

*25. Node Number 31*



**Figure 25: % CBR for S_FP node_number 31**

*26. Node Number 32*



**Figure 26: % CBR for S_FP node_number 32**

*27. Node Number 33*

**Figure 27: % CBR for S_FP node_number 33**

*28. Node Number 34*



**Figure 28: % CBR for S_FP node_number 34**

*29. Node Number 35*



**Figure 29: % CBR for S_FP node_number 35**

*30. Node Number 36*



**Figure 30: % CBR for S_FP node_number 36**

*31. Node Number 37*

27

**Figure 31: % CBR for S_FP node_number 37**

*32. Node Number 38*



**Figure 32: % CBR for S_FP node_number 38**

*33. Node Number 39*



**Figure 33: % CBR for S_FP node_number 39**

*34. Node Number 40*



**Figure 34: % CBR for S_FP node_number 40**

*35. Node Number 41*



**Figure 35: % CBR for S_FP node_number 41**

*36. Node Number 42*



**Figure 36: % CBR for S_FP node_number 42**

*37. Node Number 43*



**Figure 37: % CBR for S_FP node_number 43**

*38. Node Number 44*



**Figure 38: % CBR for S_FP node_number 44**

*39. Node Number 45*

**Figure 39: % CBR for S_FP node_number 45**

*40. Node Number 46*



**Figure 40: % CBR for S_FP node_number 46**

*41. Node Number 47*



**Figure 41: % CBR for S_FP node_number 47**

*42. Node Number 48*



**Figure 42: % CBR for S_FP node_number 48**

*43. Node Number 49*



**Figure 43: % CBR for S_FP node_number 49**

*44. Node Number 50*



**Figure 44: % CBR for S_FP node_number 50**

*45. Node Number 51*



**Figure 45: % CBR for S_FP node_number 51**

*46. Node Number 52*



**Figure 46: % CBR for S_FP node_number 52**

*47. Node Number 53*

**Figure 47: % CBR for S_FP node_number 53**

*48. Node Number 54*



**Figure 48: % CBR for S_FP node_number 54**

*49. Node Number 55*



**Figure 49: % CBR for S_FP node_number 55**

*50. Node Number 56*



**Figure 50: % CBR for S_FP node_number 56**

# 4. Conclusion.

This piece of research was aimed at studying trends of Fairness reached by sender node in ubicomp as concerns energy load distribution. This work extends from previous work [22-24]. More precisely here, a third sub-component of a previously defined metric, S_FP, is also built over the BFEA and the experimental results presented here remain empirical based. The model put forward combines mostly the decreasing exponential model and partially the linear model.

Again, previously stated assumptions [21] hold, e.g. availability of lightweight algorithms for location-aware transmission in mobile environments, lightweight MAUC OS supports for efficient binding/unbinding of MANET nodes and appropriate multi-threading/parallel communication in modules of MANET nodes.

The further work identified may include: trend analyses of parameters of equations for the model, formulating methods of predictability for metric S_FP and its trend and reporting observations of certain critical values identified. Development of further metrics for studying Fairness in ubicomp remain desirable.

# References

[1] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Towards Dependable Pervasive Systems-A Position and Vision Paper, CEET 2014

[2] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Savings achievable with Location-aware Node-to-Node Transmission in UbiComp , CEET 2014

[3] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Savings achievable with Location-aware Node-to-Node Transmission in UbiComp Using Location Refresh Intervals, CEET 2014

[4] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Savings achievable with Location-aware Transmission in UbiComp Using Relays, CEET 2014

[5] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Mathematical modeling of need of exact number of relays to ensure seamless mobility in mobile computing, CEET 2014

[6] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Modelling of need for multiple relays for ensuring seamless mobility, CEET 2014

[7] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Investigation of prominence of placements of relays in a ubicomp topography,

[8] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of energy savings achievable with location-aware transmission in ubicomp using optimised number of relays.

[9] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Investigation of Prominence of Placements of Optimised Number of Relays in a Ubicomp Topography using Location-Aware Transmission, CEET 2015.

[10] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz

MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission, CEET 2015.

[11] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission using Location Refresh Intervals, CEET 2015.

[12] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission using Uniformly Placed Relays, CEET 2015.

[13] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Extending Node Battery Availability in Ubicomp with Location-Aware Transmission Using Optimally Placed Relays, CEET 2015.

[14] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Sender Node Energy Savings Achievable with Location-Aware MANET Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[15] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Node Energy Savings Achievable with Location-Aware MANET Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[16] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Sender Node Extra Energy Savings Achievable in MANET Against Direct Node-to-Node Transmission Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[17] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Node Extra Energy Savings Achievable in MANET against Direct Node-to-Node Transmission Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[18] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Energy Consumption Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[19] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Minimum Energy Consumption Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[20] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Maximum Energy Consumption Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[21] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Energy Consumption Fairness Ratio Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission in Ubicomp. ACCN 2016

[22] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Overall Energy Consumption Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.

[23] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Minimum Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.

[24] M. Kaleem GALAMALI, Assoc. Prof Nawaz MOHAMUDALLY, Model of Maximum Fairness Proportion Achievable in MANET Using Location-Aware Transmission for Ubicomp.

[25] Markus Bylund and Zary Segall, Towards seamless mobility with personal servers, 2004.

[26] Masugi Inoue, Mikio Hasegawa, Nobuo Ryoki and Hiroyuki Morikawa, Context-Based Seamless Network and Application Control, 2004

[27] Xiang Song, Umakishore Ramachandran, MobiGo: A Middleware for Seamless Mobility, College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA, August 2007

[28] Budzisz, Ferrús, R., Brunstrom A., Grinnemo, K, Fracchia, R., Galante, G., and Casadevall, F. Towards transport-layer mobility: Evolution of SCTP multihoming, March 2008

[29] Paul Dourish & Genevieve Bell, Divining a digital future, 2011.

[30] Xiang Song, Seamless Mobility In Ubiquitous Computing Environments, PhD Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, August 2008

[31] Kevin O Mahony, Jian Liang, Kieran Delaney, User-Centric Personalization and Autonomous Reconfiguration Across Ubiquitous Computing Environments, NIMBUS Centre Cork Institute of Technology, Cork, Ireland, UBICOMM 2012

[32] Pablo Vidales, Seamless mobility in 4G systems, *Technical Report, University of Cambridge,* Computer Laboratory, Number 656, November 2005

[33] João Pedro Sousa and David Garlan, Aura: An Architectural Framework for User Mobility in Ubiquitous Computing Environments, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, USA, *August 2002*

[34] Dennis Lupiana, Ciaran O'Driscoll, Fredrick Mtenzi, Defining Smart Space in the Context of Ubiquitous Computing, Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland, Special Issue on ICIT 2009 Conference - Web and Agent Systems, 2009

[35] N.S.V.Shet1, Prof.K.Chandrasekaran2 and Prof. K.C.Shet3, *WAP Based Seamless Roaming In Urban Environment with Wise Handoff Technique,* International Journal of UbiComp (IJU), Vol.1, No.4, October 2010

[36] Yipeng Yu Dan He Weidong Hua Shijian Li Yu Qi Yueming Wang Gang Pan, FlyingBuddy2: A Brain-controlled Assistant for the Handicapped, Zhejiang University, *UbiComp'12,* September 5-8, 2012.

[37] Jing Su, James Scott, Pan Hui, Jon Crowcroft, Eyal de Lara Christophe Diot, Ashvin Goel, Meng How Lim, and Eben Upton, Haggle: Seamless Networking for Mobile Applications, 2007

[38] Rui Han, Moustafa M. Ghanem, Li Guo, Yike Guo∗, Michelle Osmond, Enabling cost-aware and adaptive elasticity of multi-tier cloud applications, Future Generation Computer Systems, 2012

[39] Byrav Ramamurthy, K. K. Ramakrishnan , Rakesh K. Sinha, Cost and Reliability Considerations in Designing the Next-Generation IP over WDM Backbone Networks, 2012.

[40] Bhavish Aggarwal, Aditya Akella, Ashok Anand, Athula Balachandran, Pushkar Chitnis, Chitra Muthukrishnan, Ram Ramjee and George Varghese, EndRE: An End-System Redundancy Elimination Service for Enterprises, NSDI 2010, San Jose, CA

[41] Ashok Anand, Vyas Sekar and Aditya Akella, SmartRE: An Architecture for Coordinated Network-wide Redundancy Elimination, SIGCOMM 2009, Barcelona, Spain

[42] John Breeden II, "Smart-phone battery life could double – without better batteries", Nov 14, 2012

[43] Andy Boxall, "When will your phone battery last as long as your kindle", December 5, 2012.

[44] Imielinski, T. and Navas, J.C. (1999). GPS-based geographic addressing, routing, and resource discovery. *Comms. ACM*, Vol. 42, No. 4, pp. 86-92.

[45] Hightower, J. and Borriello, G. (2001). Location Systems for Ubiquitous Computing. *IEEE Computer*, Vol. 34, No. 8, August, pp. 57-66.

[46] Harter, A., Hopper, A., Steggles, P., Ward, A. and Webster, P. (2002). The Anatomy of a Context-Aware Application. Wireless Networks, Vol. 8, No. 2-3, Mar-May, pp. 187-197.

[47] Hightower, J., Brumitt, B. and Borriello, G. (2002). The Location Stack: A Layered Model for Location in Ubiquitous Computing. *Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems & Applications (WMCSA 2002)*, Callicoon, NY, USA, June, pp. 22-28.

[48] Graumann, D., Lara, W., Hightower, J. and Borriello, G. (2003). Real-world implementation of the Location Stack: The Universal Location Framework. *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems & Applications (WMCSA 2003)*, Monterey, CA, USA, October, pp. 122-128.

[49] Ko, Y., & Vaidya, N. H. (2000). Location-aided routing (LAR) in mobile ad hoc networks. *Wireless Networks*, 6(4), 307-321.

[50] Liao, W.-H., Tseng, Y.-C., & Sheu, J.-P. (2001). GRID: a fully location-aware routing protocol for mobile ad hoc networks. *Telecommunication Systems*, *18*(1), 37-60.

[51] Kuhn, F., Wattenhofer, R., Zhang, Y., & Zollinger, A.

(2003). Geometric ad-hoc routing: of theory and practice. In *Proceedings of the ACM (PODC'03)* (pp. 63-72).

[52] Jiang, X., & Camp, T. (2002). Review of geocasting protocols for a mobile ad hoc network. In Proceedings of the *Grace Hopper Celebration (GHC)*.

[53] Ko, Y. & Vaidya, N. H. (1999). Geocasting in mobile ad hoc networks: location-based multicast algorithms. In *Proceedings of the IEEE (WMCSA'99)* (pp. 101).

[54] Mauve, M., Fuler, H., Widmer, J., & Lang, T. (2003). *Position-based multicast routing for mobile ad-hoc networks* (Technical Report TR-03-004). Department of Computer Science, University of Mannheim.

[55] Xu, Y., Heidemann, J., & Estrin, D. (2001). Geography-informed energy conservation for adhoc routing. In *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE (MOBICOM'01)* (pp. 70-84).

[56] Hu, Y.-C., Perrig, A., & Johnson, D. (2003). Packet leashes: a defense against wormhole attacks in wireless ad hoc networks. In *Proceedings of the INFOCOM' 03* (pp. 1976-1986).

[57] Patwari, N., Hero III, A. O., Perkins, M., Correal, N. S., & O'Dea, R. J. (2003). Relative location estimation in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, *51*(8), 2137-2148.

[58] Baldauf, M., Dustdar, S., & Rosenberg, F. (2007). A Survey on Context Aware Systems. *International Journal of Ad Hoc and Ubiquitous Computing*, Inderscience Publishers. forthcoming. Pre-print from: http://www.vitalab.tuwien.ac.at/~florian/ papers/ijahuc2007.pdf

[59] Hong, D., Chiu, D.K.W., & Shen, V.Y. (2005). Requirements elicitation for the design of context-aware applications in a ubiquitous environment. In *Proceedings of ICEC'05* (pp. 590-596).

[60] Neeraj Tantubay, Dinesh Ratan Gautam and Mukesh Kumar Dhariwal, A Review of Power Conservation in Wireless Mobile Ad hoc Network (MANET)", International Journal of computer Science Issues, Vol 8, Issue 4, No 1, July 2011.

[61] Wenrui Zhao, Mostafa Ammar and Ellen Zegura, "A Message Ferrying Approach for Data Delivery in Sparse Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", *MobiHoc'04,* May 24–26, 2004, Roppongi, Japan.

About Author (s):

Associate Professor Nawaz Mohamudally works at University of Technology, Mauritius (UTM) and has undertaken supervision of MPhil/PhD Students for many years.

M. Kaleem Galamali is a part-time student (achieved M Phil Transfer on 28.10.2014, currently PhD student) at UTM under supervision of A.P. Nawaz Mohamudally.