Meteorological Effects on Ground-levels Ozone Metrics in Bangkok Metropolis Region

Bundit Apisamajarakul and Sitthichok Puangthongthub*

Abstract—Multiple linear regression models were constructed to characterize ground-level O₃ metrics in Bangkok Metropolis Region where meteorological parameters are different from other studies in cold cities. SAS^{\oplus} 9.2 software analyzed 2.9-million hourly data during 1997 – 2011 including O₃, NO₂ and meteorological variables such as temperature (T), rainfall (RF), relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), solar radiation (SR), wind speed (WS) and wind direction (WD). The results showed O₃ was highest in winter because of clearest sky and an atmospheric inversion. O₃ had negatively correlated with RH and RF and positively correlated with SR and previous day O_3 ($O_{3(d-1)}$) Natural logarithm transformed O₃ was used for 3 O₃ metrics (daily average, daily maximum and daytime average) for 4 periods (annual, summer, winter and rainy season). Regression results showed that the $lnO_{3(d-1)}$ was a main positive predictor and RH is the strongest negative predictor following by a positive SR predictor. In winter, major predictors are RH, NO₂, WD and InO_{3(d-1)}. In raining season, P and SR played significant positive predictors. In summer, RH is only a main predictor. For validation analysis, the lnO3 daily maximum and daytime average in summer show the highest R^2 values at 0.573 and 0.568 respectively. This work investigated the effects of Bangkok tropical climate parameters influencing O₃ metrics.

Keywords—ozone, meteorology, multiple linear regression, seasonal effects

I. Introduction

Ground-level ozone (O_3) is a secondary pollutant, which is not emitted directly, but it can be formed by complex photochemical reactions in the troposphere. The Thai Pollution Control Department (PCD) has been reporting that hourly O_3 levels in Bangkok and its vicinity have been exceeding both 8-hour and 1-hour standards because of increasing automobile vehicles and urban heat island effect. Traffic pollutants such as hydrocarbons (HC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) can form O_3 in the presence of sunlight. The tropospheric ozone can negatively affect human health and environment. It reduces visibility when reacting with particulate matters in the atmosphere and forms photochemical

Bundit Apisamajarakul Center of Excellence on Hazardous Substance Management (HSM), Chulalongkorn University Bangkok, Thailand

*Corresponding author

Sitthichok Puangthongthub, Ph.D Department of Environmental Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University Bangkok, Thailand smog resulting in adverse respiratory and cardiovascular health effects.

Climate and seasonal changes in meteorological factors have showed links with O_3 fluctuations [1, 2]. The favorable meteorological conditions can lift up O₃ concentrations. Solar radiation is the most important factor in O_3 synthesis [3, 4]. Temperature, a surrogate of solar radiation, and the Peroxy Acetyl Nitrate (PAN), naturally released and acting as a source of NO₂ are also associated with increased O₃ [4, 5. Several studies reveal that temperature and heat island effect are well associated with increased O₃ especially in cities where highrise buildings and properties of constructed surfaces help sink O_3 precursors [6, 7]. Wind speed and direction can dilute O_3 level or concentrate it by transporting it from neighboring cities. In dense urban setting area, wind may not be able to clear the atmospheric completely from air pollutants due to structural characteristic of buildings [8, 9]. Thus the previous day's pollutant concentration is useful in predicting next day's concentration as well as pressure, relative humidity and rainfall are [10, 11]. Several works have applied these metrological variables and O₃ precursors in modeling urban O₃ concentration by using correlation coefficient and multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis [4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. This work aims to investigate the influence of meteorological factors on O₃ concentrations by MLR method in Bangkok where its meteorological condition depends on year-round strong solar radiation and high relative humidity with a presence of monsoon differing from other study locations in cold countries.

п. Materials and Methods

A. Area and Data

This work acquired 2.9 million hourly measurements of O_3 , NO_2 and meteorological parameters such as temperature (T in °C), solar radiation (SR in MJ/m²), wind speed (WS in m/s), wind direction (WD in degree), relative humidity (RH in %), rainfall (RF in mm) and pressure (P in mmHg) during 1997-2011 from 23 PCD air quality stations in Bangkok metropolis region including surrounding 4 provinces (Pathumthani, Samut Prakarn, Samut Sakhon and Nonthaburi). Ambient air quality monitoring network is showed in Fig. 1. Hourly ozone data were calculated for 3 O_3 metrics (daily maximum, daily average, and daytime average of 09.00 – 17.00 hr.), hourly NO₂, WS, WD and RH were estimated for daily average, hourly T and the previous day O_3 ($O_{3(d-1)}$) were estimated for daily maximum, and hourly SR and RF were aggregated for daily total.





Figure 1. Ambient air quality monitoring stations of PCD in Bangkok Metropolis Regions

B. Methodology

Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients (r) were computed for 4 weather periods to witness how well each O₃ metric was correlated with its predictors (NO₂, T, SR, WS, WD, RH, RF, P and O_{3(d-1)}).We then fit 12 MLR models (3 O₃ metrics for 4 sub analyses) to characterize what meteorological factors were annually and seasonally influencing O₃ metrics significantly. The mathematical expression of MLR equation can be written in the form shown in (1).

$$y = a + b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_k x_k \tag{1}$$

where y is participant's predicted scores on the criterion variable (the dependent variable), x_k is the kth predictor variables (the kth independent variables), a is an intercept constant (the regression constant) and b_k is the non-standardized multiple regression coefficient for the kth predictor variables (the kth regression coefficient). This study used the stepwise method that is the combination method of backward and forward method to optimize prediction models [15]. Each O₃ metric (y variable) regressed on its predictors (x variables) such as NO₂, O_{3(d-1)} and the meteorological parameters using SAS[®] 9.2 software.

III. Results and Discussions

A. Temporal exploratory analysis

Seasonal O₃ daily average fluctuations were observed as shown in Fig.2 with a 14-year average at 15.36 ± 11.01 ppb (N = 1,849,697) ranging from few ppb to 56 ppb.The O₃ peaks were in winter at an average of 18.96 ± 20.68 ppb (N= 615,606) following by summer with an average of $17.75 \pm$ 17.6 ppb (N = 44,3630) and rainy with an average of 10.97 ± 17.16 ppb (N = 788,121). Winter O₃ levels were highest but less fluctuating than summer O₃ levels because of less cloud with strong radiation and shorter atmospheric mixing height for well promoting photochemical reaction of O₃ precursors while their temperature levels were not much different i.e., 27.92 ± 3.27 °C vs. 30.01 ± 3.00 °C respectively. The lowest O₃ average found in rainy season was likely due to more cloudy days resulting in low solar radiation and wet deposition (RF and RH) of O_3 precursors [18].



Figure 2. Daily average ozone concentrations from 23 PCD air quality stations in Bangkok metropolis region during 1997 to 2011

B. Correlation Coefficient Analysis

Most correlation coefficients were found statistically significant (P<0.05) except few indicated with star symbol as shown in Table 1. NO₂ levels were positively correlated with O₃ maximum in all tests but negatively correlated with other two metrics in 3 seasons likely due to natural characteristic of unstable species of NOx and O₃ precursor mixing speed under different meteorological conditions. The O_{3(d-1)} concentrations were most strongly positive (r ranging from 0.57 to 0.69) in all periods due to day-to-day accumulation [10, 11]. In all periods, positive correlations were observed for SR and negative correlations were seen for RH and RF consistently. For T, O₃ maximum and daytime average (two O₃ metrics during solar radiation available) showed consistent positive correlation but for WS, they had negative correlation consistently. Pressure trended to be positively correlated in many tests, i.e. high P promoted well O₃ precursor mixing except few tests in summer with negligible r values. Among meteorological parameters, RH was predominantly and negatively correlated (r average at -0.27) and associated with rainy days when cloudier sky and lower SR minimize photochemical production while wet deposition diluting O₃ precursors happened [4, 8] following by SR positively correlated (r average at 0.18).

Summer O_3 metrics showed strong positive correlation with SR and T but strong negative correlation with RH. Previous studies demonstrated O_3 concentrations were high under high T, strong SR and low RH [4, 9, 19]. In rainy season, T, SR and P were in positive correlation with all O_3 metrics and in opposite direction for RF, RH and WD. In winter, we found SR, WD and P showed positive correlation but RF and RH showed negative correlation. Although in rainy season RH was high and expected to have high negative correlation coefficient but we saw this correlation in summer and winter instead. This may be due to high fluctuation of RH between wet and dry days comparing resulting in large SD of O_3 daily average. For solar radiation, it was positive in all





tests as tropospheric O_3 are well produced during appearance of strong solar radiation.

c. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

The natural logarithm transformation used for all O₃ metrics has improved model R^2 (R^2 results of non-transformed O_3) were not shown). Multicollinearity (by variance inflation factor, VIF) and tolerance statistics were also analyzed showing no multicollinearity among predictors (result not shown). The lnO_3 daytime average models showed highest R^2 values in all periods possibly that we modeled O₃ data set only during photochemical period (9-17 hr), following by the lnO₃ daily average and lnO₃daily max models (see Table 2). The model \mathbb{R}^2 values ranged from 0.5019-0.6207 for $\ln O_3$ daytime average, 0.4823-0.5888 for lnO3 daily average and 0.4823 -0.5677 for lnO_3 daily maximum. The $lnO_{3(d-1)}$ was robust in all models as a main predictor (regression coefficients (Bs) ranging from 0.608- 0.696) which is consistent with the similar analysis done in Greater Athens, Greece [10]. NO₂ was a negative predictor for lnO3 daily and daytime average metrics in all periods. This relationship was expected because NO_2 was an O_3 precursor and was decreased to from O_3 [20]. However this was not seen in most lnO3 daily maximum models that predicted only an hour with the highest O₃ so 24hour average of NO_2 may not be an effective predictor for this case.

For the meteorological parameters, RH is the strongest negative predictor following by a positive SR predictor. Bangkok has tropical climate with long range of monsoon (6 months). High RH and wet deposition can absorb O_3 that is soluble [8, 18, 21] so rainfall can make O_3 levels

lower in the atmosphere [6, 20]. Long period of SR can result in adding O_3 peak due to the photochemical process [14]. WS appeared to negatively predict lnO_3 daily maximum and daytime average or WS help dilute O_3 in daytime during the presence of SR by wind transportation [22, 23] but during the longer pe

riod covering day and night time, WS can promote mixing of O_3 precursors or help transport O_3 from other vicinity area [14] such as from Samut Prakkarn where the PCD has been reported that O₃ keeps violating the 1-hr and 8hr standards due to additional O3 precursors from industrial sources. T (max) was seen as a positive predictor only in lnO₃ daily maximum models in all periods as high T causes convection to enhance vertical O₃ transport and causes the photolysis of PAN chemistry leading to more NO₂ formed 4, 9]. However in this work, T (max) showed random effects in other two lnO₃ metrics with extended hours of O₃ in averaging or T (max) may not be a well predictor in Bangkok as temperature levels were not much fluctuating year-round unlike many studies in cold cities showing large temperature gradient between seasons where T can be a significant predictor [22, 23].

For season specific effect, we observed consistent high regression coefficients (β s) in winter for RH and NO₂ as negative predictors and WD and lnO_{3(d-1)} as positive predictors in all lnO₃ metrics while SR was positively high in both winter and rainy seasons. Winter meteorological parameters of Bangkok are favorable for O₃ formation as lowest RH for less wet deposition of O₃ precursors and O₃, highest and ready NO₂ to switch to O₃ due to atmospheric inversion, clearest sky for no SR interruption with more extended hours than those

 TABLE I.
 PEARSON PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN O3 METRICS AND THEIR PREDICTORS BY SEASONAL AND ANNUAL DATA SET

O_3	NO_2	Р	Rain (total)	RH	T (max)	WD	WS	SR (total)	O3 max (d-1)
1	-0.0931	-0.0009	-0.0768	-0.2664	0.0655*	0.0149	0.1444	0.1706	0.5684
1	0.1557	0.0028	-0.0349	-0.2286	0.1561	0.0072	-0.0902	0.0735	0.5806
1	-0.0867	-0.0002	-0.0644	-0.3510	0.1708	-0.0152	-0.0176	0.1767	0.5992
1	-0.1477	0.0534*	-0.0378	-0.1704	0.1822	-0.0144	0.0973	0.2295	0.5680
1	0.0389	0.0586*	-0.0206	-0.0635	0.2020*	-0.0406	-0.0650	0.1328	0.5954
1	-0.1652	0.0462*	-0.0642	-0.2415	0.2411	-0.0036	-0.0199	0.2503	0.6029
1	-0.1520	0.0238	-0.1158	-0.3160	-0.0663	0.0584	0.0924	0.2747	0.6225
1	0.0353	0.0193	-0.1010	-0.2533	0.0326	0.1655	-0.0674	0.1890	0.6591
1	-0.2310	0.0228	-0.0997	-0.3830	0.0129	0.0776*	-0.0054	0.2898	0.6767
•									
1	0.0325	0.0235	-0.1051	-0.3395	-0.0016	-0.0908	0.0967	0.1629	0.6514
1	0.2046	0.0248	-0.0834	-0.2773	0.0433	-0.0434	-0.0766	0.0671	0.6731
1	-0.0586	0.0319	-0.0936	-0.4093	0.0409	-0.1238*	-0.0373	0.1624	0.6916
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 -0.0931 1 0.1557 1 -0.0867 1 -0.1477 1 0.0389 1 -0.1652 1 -0.1520 1 0.0353 1 -0.2310 1 0.0325 1 0.2046 1 -0.0586 not statistically significant signisis significant significant significa	1 -0.0931 -0.0009 1 0.1557 0.0028 1 -0.0867 -0.0002 1 -0.1477 0.0534* 1 0.0389 0.0586* 1 -0.1652 0.0462* 1 -0.1520 0.0238 1 0.0353 0.0193 1 -0.2310 0.0228 1 0.0325 0.0235 1 0.2046 0.0248 1 -0.0586 0.0319 not statistically significant at $a = 0$	1 -0.0931 -0.0009 -0.0768 1 0.1557 0.0028 -0.0349 1 -0.0867 -0.0002 -0.0644 1 -0.1477 0.0534* -0.0378 1 0.0389 0.0586* -0.0206 1 -0.1652 0.0462* -0.0642 1 -0.1520 0.0238 -0.1158 1 0.0353 0.0193 -0.1010 1 -0.2310 0.0228 -0.0997 1 0.0325 0.0235 -0.1051 1 0.2046 0.0248 -0.0834 1 -0.0586 0.0319 -0.0936	1 -0.0931 -0.0009 -0.0768 -0.2664 1 0.1557 0.0028 -0.0349 -0.2286 1 -0.0867 -0.0002 -0.0644 -0.3510 1 -0.1477 $0.0534*$ -0.0378 -0.1704 1 0.0389 $0.0586*$ -0.0206 -0.0635 1 -0.1652 $0.0462*$ -0.0642 -0.2415 1 -0.1520 0.0238 -0.1158 -0.3160 1 0.0353 0.0193 -0.1010 -0.2533 1 -0.2310 0.0228 -0.0997 -0.3830 1 0.0325 0.0235 -0.1051 -0.3395 1 0.2046 0.0248 -0.0834 -0.2773 1 0.0586 0.0319 -0.0936 -0.4093 not statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ -0.0586 -0.0586	1 -0.0931 -0.0009 -0.0768 -0.2664 0.0655* 1 0.1557 0.0028 -0.0349 -0.2286 0.1561 1 -0.0867 -0.0002 -0.0644 -0.3510 0.1708 1 -0.1477 0.0534* -0.0378 -0.1704 0.1822 1 0.0389 0.0586* -0.0206 -0.0635 0.2020* 1 -0.1652 0.0462* -0.0642 -0.2415 0.2411 1 -0.1520 0.0238 -0.1158 -0.3160 -0.0663 1 0.0353 0.0193 -0.1010 -0.2533 0.0326 1 -0.2310 0.0228 -0.0997 -0.3830 0.0129 1 0.0325 0.0235 -0.1051 -0.3395 -0.0016 1 0.2046 0.0248 -0.0834 -0.2773 0.0433 1 -0.0586 0.0319 -0.0936 -0.4093 0.0409	1 -0.0931 -0.0009 -0.0768 -0.2664 0.0655* 0.0149 1 0.1557 0.0028 -0.0349 -0.2286 0.1561 0.0072 1 -0.0867 -0.0002 -0.0644 -0.3510 0.1708 -0.0152 1 -0.1477 0.0534* -0.0378 -0.1704 0.1822 -0.0144 1 0.0389 0.0586* -0.0206 -0.0635 0.2020* -0.0406 1 -0.1652 0.0462* -0.0642 -0.2415 0.2411 -0.0036 1 -0.1520 0.0238 -0.1158 -0.3160 -0.0663 0.0584 1 0.0353 0.0193 -0.1010 -0.2533 0.0326 0.1655 1 -0.2310 0.0228 -0.0997 -0.3830 0.0129 0.0776* 1 0.0325 0.0235 -0.1051 -0.3395 -0.0016 -0.0908 1 0.2046 0.0248 -0.0834 -0.2773 0.0433 -0.0434 1 0.0586 0.0319 -0.0936 -0.4093 0.0409	1 -0.0931 -0.0009 -0.0768 -0.2664 0.0655* 0.0149 0.1444 1 0.1557 0.0028 -0.0349 -0.2286 0.1561 0.0072 -0.0902 1 -0.0867 -0.0002 -0.0644 -0.3510 0.1708 -0.0152 -0.0176 1 -0.1477 0.0534* -0.0378 -0.1704 0.1822 -0.0144 0.0973 1 0.0389 0.0586* -0.0206 -0.0635 0.2020* -0.0406 -0.0650 1 -0.1652 0.0462* -0.0642 -0.2415 0.2411 -0.0036 -0.0199 1 -0.1520 0.0238 -0.1158 -0.3160 -0.0663 0.0584 0.0924 1 -0.1520 0.0238 -0.1158 -0.3160 -0.0663 0.0584 0.0924 1 0.0353 0.0193 -0.1010 -0.2533 0.0326 0.1655 -0.0674 1 0.0325 0.0235 -0.1051 -0.3395 -0.0016 -0.0908 0.0967 1 0.0325 0.0235 -0.1051	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $



Proc. of the Intl. Conf. on Future Trends In Bio-Informatics and Environmental Science-- FTBES 2014 Copyright © Institute of Research Engineers and Doctors. All rights reserved. ISBN: 978-1-63248-016-3 doi: 10.15224/978-1-63248-016-3-05

studies in cold climate countries and different WD possibly promoting O_3 precursor mixing. In raining season, we found regression coefficients of P and SR showed high values whose gradients may be large between wet and dry days thus can clearly be detected by regression as major positive predictors in raining season. In summer, we did not see any predictors showing significant effects except RH. RH was shifting mostly in winter following by summer and raining season respectively. So this RH fluctuating can be a significant predictor and observed through its regression coefficient

D. Validation of the Models

We estimated the coefficient of determination R^2 values in all 12 models to see how well observed O_3 and predicted O_3 were fit using 2009 data set. The R^2 ranged from 0.3057 to 0.5732 (averaged at 0.4628). In rainy, winter and annual tests, all lnO_3 daily average and daytime average had higher R^2 values consistently than those of lnO_3 daily maximum. However, in summer the lnO3 daily maximum model showed the highest R^2 of 0.5732 following by the lnO_3 daily average model with R^2 of 0.5676 (as seen in Figs 3 and 4 respectively). We also calculated R^2 values for non ln-transformed models and their results revealed that the R^2 values of ln-transformed O_3 models were overall higher than the R^2 of non-transformed O_3 models (the highest R^2 in daily average metrics in summer at 0.4922 and rainy season at 0.4125). O_3 metrics during sunlight showed positive correlation but for WS, they had negative correlation. RH was predominantly and negatively correlated following by SR that was positively correlated. The natural logarithm transformation of O_3 metrics improved model R². The lnO₃ daytime average models showed highest R² values in all periods. The lnO_{3(d-1)} was a major predictor. NO₂ was a negative predictor for lnO₃ daily and daytime average metrics. RH is the strongest negative predictor following by a positive SR predictor. Bangkok has tropical weather with extended hours of SR. WS appeared to be a negatively predictor, not only helping O₃ dilution in daytime but also can promote mixing of O3 precursors. T (max) may not be a well predictor in Bangkok as temperature was not much variable differing from cold countries indicating T was their major positive predictor.

In addition, unique results were observed in winter, favorable to O_3 formation, for example lowest RH for less wet deposition, highest and ready NO₂, clearest sky for no SR interruption with more extended daytime hours than those studies in cold climate countries and different WD promoting O_3 precursor mixing. In raining season, we found P and SR showed high β values and in summer, only RH was only a significant predictor. This work tested the effects of Bangkok tropical climate parameters influencing different O_3 metrics in different weather periods.

Acknowledgment

IV. Conclusion

We analyzed 2.9 million hourly measurements of O_3 , NO_2 and meteorological parameters in Bangkok and nearby 4 provinces and found positive correlation for SR and $O_{3(d-1)}$. The negative correlation was seen for RH and RF. For T, two

This work was funded by EHWM, Chulalongkorn University and the National Research University fund, grant no. CC492A. We greatly appreciate their supports. Special thank Thai Pollution control Department (PCD) to support the air quality data and all staff of The International Postgraduate Programs in Environmental Management (Hazardous Waste

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE II. & Standardized regression coefficients from multiple linear regressions with LNO_3 concentrations $Provide the test of t$

InO ₃ metrics	Standardized regression coefficients									
	NO ₂	Р	Rain	RH	T	WD	WS	SR	InO _{3 (d-1)}	\mathbf{R}^2
(a) Summer										
Daily avg	-0.1214	0.0131	-0.0254	-0.1918	-0.0405	0.0238	0.0807	0.0603	0.6082	0.4823
Daily max	0.0650	0.0193	-	-0.1014	0.0741	0.0133	-0.0383	0.0279	0.6325	0.4673
Daytime avg	-0.0814	0.0150	-0.016	-0.2134	0.0132	-	-0.0174	0.0574	0.6075	0.5019
(b) Rainy Season										
Daily avg	-0.1205	0.0666	-	-0.1341	-	-0.0288	0.0406	0.1096	0.6222	0.4989
Daily max	0.0092	0.0408	-	-0.0303	0.1029	-0.0082	-0.0449	0.0583	0.6555	0.4836
Daytime avg	-0.1078	0.0417	-0.0206	-0.1366	0.0345	-0.0119	-0.0436	0.1088	0.6280	0.5294
(c) Winter										
Daily avg	-0.1734	0.0130	-0.0516	-0.2202	-0.0226	0.0548	0.02587	0.0957	0.6256	0.5888
Daily max	-0.0175	0.0185	-0.0345	-0.1388	0.0285	0.0963	-0.0550	0.0789	0.6683	0.5671
Daytime avg	-0.1478	0.0138	-0.0278	-0.2152	-	0.0739	-0.0478	0.0831	0.6288	0.6207
(d) Annual										
Daily avg	-0.0956	0.0178	-0.0229	-0.1867	-0.0335	-0.0199	0.0477	0.0988	0.6888	0.5674
Daily max	0.0525	0.0172	-0.0136	-0.0985	0.0321	0.0151	-0.0497	0.0650	0.6959	0.5549
Daytime avg	-0.0852	0.0173	-0.0219	-0.2048	-0.0158	-0.0096	-0.0388	0.0850	0.6635	0.5993

Using stepwise regression method with significant level at $\alpha = 0.05$



Management), Chulalongkorn University for their assistance.



Figure 3. Validation for summer daily maximum O3 metric using 2009 data



Figure 4. Validation for summer daytime average O₃ metric using 2009 data

References

- Ahrens CD. Essential of Meteorology: An Inventory to the Atmosphere. Fifth ed. Thomson Brooks/Cole, Belmont, California, USA. 2008; 327-351.
- Manahan SE. Environmental Chemistry. 8th ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA. 2005; 279-323.
- [3] Minoura H. Some Characteristics of Surface Ozone Concentration Observed in an Urban Atmosphere. Atmospheric Research 1999; 51: 153-69.
- [4] Singla V, Pachauri T, Satsangi A, Kumari KM, Lakhani A. Surface Ozone Concentrations in Agra: Links with the Prevailing Meteorological Parameters. Theoretical and Applied Climatology 2012; 110: 409-21.
- [5] Olszyna KJ, Luria M, Meagher JF. The Correlation of Temperature and Rural Ozone Levels in Southeastern U.S.A. Atmospheric Environment 1997; 31: 3011-22.
- [6] Nugroho SB, Fujiwara A, Zhang J. Analysis of Roadside Air Quality in Jakarta City: A Structural Equation Approach. JSME International Journal Series B Fluids and Thermal Engineering 2006; 49: 8-18.
- [7] Mihalakakou G, Santamouris M, Papanikolaou N, Cartalis C, Tsangrassoulis A. Simulation of the Urban Heat Island Phenomenon in Mediterranean Climates. pure and applied geophysics 2004; 161: 429-51.
- [8] Shan WP, Yin YQ, Zhang JD, Ding YP. Observational Study of Surface Ozone at an Urban Site in East China. Atmospheric Research 2008; 89: 252-61.

- [9] Ozbay B, Keskin GA, Dogruparmak SC, Ayberk S. Multivariate Methods for Ground-Level Ozone Modeling. Atmospheric Research 2011; 102: 57-65.
- [10] Moustris KP, Nastos PT, Larissi IK, Paliatsos AG. Application of Multiple Linear Regression Models and Artificial Neural Networks on the Surface Ozone Forecast in the Greater Athens Area, Greece. Advances in Meteorology 2012.
- [11] Pires JCM, Martins FG. Correction Methods for Statistical Models in Tropospheric Ozone Forecasting. Atmospheric Environment 2011; 45: 2413-7.
- [12] Davis JM, Speckman P. A Model for Predicting Maximum and 8H Average Ozone in Houston. Atmospheric Environment 1999; 33: 2487-500.
- [13] Wang G, Ogden JM, Chang DPY. Estimating Changes in Urban Ozone Concentrations Due to Life Cycle Emissions from Hydrogen Transportation Systems. Atmospheric Environment 2007; 41: 8874-90.
- [14] Abdul-Wahab SA, Bakheit CS, Al-Alawi SM. Principal Component and Multiple Regression Analysis in Modelling of Ground-Level Ozone and Factors Affecting Its Concentrations. Environmental Modelling & Software 2005; 20: 1263-71.
- [15] O'Rourke N, Hatcher L, Stepanski EJ. A Step-by-Step Approach to Using Sas for Univariate and Multivariate Statistics. 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience, New York, USA. 2005; 367-428.
- [16] Tu J, Xia Z-G, Wang H, Li W. Temporal Variations in Surface Ozone and Its Precursors and Meteorological Effects at an Urban Site in China. Atmospheric Research 2007; 85: 310-37.
- [17] Lacour S, de Monte M, Diot P, Brocca J, Veron N, Colin P, Leblond V. Relationship between Ozone and Temperature During the 2003 Heat Wave in France: Consequences for Health Data Analysis. BMC Public Health 2006; 6: 261.
- [18] Jacobson MZ. Atmospheric Pollution: History, Science, and Regulation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New York, USA. 2002; 72-176.
- [19] Dueñas C, Fernández MC, Cañete S, Carretero J, Liger E. Assessment of Ozone Variations and Meteorological Effects in an Urban Area in the Mediterranean Coast. Science of the Total Environment 2002; 299: 97-113.
- [20] Brönnimann S, Neu U. Weekend-Weekday Differences of near-Surface Ozone Concentrations in Switzerland for Different Meteorological Conditions. Atmospheric Environment 1997; 31: 1127-35.
- [21] Chaloulakou A, Saisana M, Spyrellis N. Comparative Assessment of Neural Networks and Regression Models for Forecasting Summertime Ozone in Athens. Science of the Total Environment 2003; 313: 1-13.

About Author (s):



I am studying in the International Postgraduate Program in Environmental Management (EHWM), Center of Excellence for Hazardous Substance Management (HSM), Chulalongkorn University. I work and focus on air pollution and air quality model.



I am working as a lecturer at Chulalonkorn University in Bangkok, Thailand in the Department of Environmental Science. The topics in courses I am co-teaching are Air Pollution, Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Monitoring and Auditing. I am working with students whose research areas are air pollution epidemiology, occupational health risk assessment, and climate change to enhance air pollution.

