OPEN SOURCE DRUG DISCOVERY FOR CHIKUNGUNYA

[DR.Vidya N, Chetana Shetty, Deepa Mohan, Mayuri Rane, Padmavathi P, Sandhya S]

ABSTRACT—Chikungunya is viral disease is transmitted to human beings by mosquito bite ^[2]. Chikungunya can cause fever, chills, nausea, vomiting, joint pain, head ache, and swollen in the joints. A cause of Chikungunya is mainly due to the replication of Chikungunya virus in the human body ^[5]. The protein E1 was used in the study which is monomer with molecular weight 50KDa which is anchored in the membrane of CHIKV. The structure of E1 was retrieved from Protein Data Bank. The PDB ID for E1 was 3N41, which is responsible for Chikungunya virus replication. CHIKV replication is resistant to inhibition by interferon once RNA replication has been established and that CHIKV actively suppress the antiviral IFN response by preventing IFN-induced gene expression there by making host to shut-off $[^{4,2]}$. The report have shown that the intensity of the infection has increased with every passing year with 45%–63% attack rates in world during outbreaks India is endemic to dengue fever and due to overlapping symptoms; CHIK infection is generally mistaken to be the former thereby leading to misdiagnosis. CHIKV infection is considered an important public health problem due to the morbidity and disability caused by chronic arthralgia $^{[2]}$. The social and economic burden caused by CHIKV fever is tremendous. Hence, there is an urgent need for an effective vaccine or antiviral therapy against CHIKV infection. The Homology Modeling was used to predict the 3-Dimensional structure of $3N41^{[4]}$. Docking studies were carried out with various inhibitors and it was found that ligand 1IKW had a most stable interaction with 3n41. Indicate that LIGAND 1IKW is the most promising inhibitor for CHIKV.

Keyword: CHIKV, drug design, OSDD, E1 protein, Efavirenz, Rimantidine

Vidya N,Chetana J Shetty,Padmavathi P,Mayuri Rane,Sandya S,Deepa Moolya (*Author*)

line 1 R V College of Engineering and Technology,Bangalor -560060 line 2: INDIA

INTRODUCTION

The CHIKV infection reemerged in seven states in 2005 and by the latest report in 2010, has spread to more than 18 states/Union Territories within the country affecting more than 3.7 million individuals ^[8]. Moreover, the number of cases has been grossly under reported due to mistaken diagnosis of dengue and non-reporting of suspected cases and related deaths thereby making the number of CHIK infection much more than reported ^[11]. Since its reemergence, the intensity of the infection has increased with every passing year with 45%-63% attack rates in several areas during outbreaks India is endemic to dengue fever and due to overlapping symptoms; CHIK infection is generally mistaken to be the former thereby leading to misdiagnosis^[5, 6]CHIK infection is considered an important public health problem due to the morbidity and disability caused by chronic arthralgia ^[4]. The social and economic burden caused by CHIK fever is tremendous. Hence, there is an urgent need for an effective vaccine or antiviral therapy against CHIKV infection ^[2].Chikungunya (CHIKV) virus has recently reemerged as an important pathogen causing epidemics of the disease in several countries. Epidemic resurgence of CHIKV was recorded in 2000 in the Democratic Republic of Congo(DRC), in Indonesia during 2013 ^[2–6]. There is no conjoice treatment (therapies) or vaccines are available for CHIKV due to which there is high morbidity and loss in daily activity associated with CHIKV infection. This emphasizes the need to have a drug design for chikungunya. We found Proteins E1 and E2 both having a molecular weight of roughly 50kDa, forms a heterodimer anchored in the membrane which is a major causes an illness. Recent Chikungunya virus outbreaks presented a prospect for genetic analysis of patients with the illness, revealing a point mutation at the amino acid 226 (Ala mutated to Val) of the E1 gene^[7]. This point mutation was confirmed to be responsible for an improved capacity of CHIKV strains to infect and replicate in the Aedes albopictus, enabling virus transmission to anaive human population.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Ligand selection

PubChem Database compound contains validated chemical depiction information. Structures that are stored in PubChem contain calculated properties and description which helps in searching and filtering of chemical structures. The ligand for 3n41 protein was retrieved from PubChem Compound in Sdf file format and converted to pdb.

SMART screening

DS-smarts screening filter was used to screen the screened ligands from the library of thecompounds using the Discovery studio2.5. Discovery studio package contains the smiles of the



Reactive functional group of the database and the compounds screened using the DS_smarts. Usually many drugs fail in clinical trials because of unrelated side effects and Bio-Unavailability. To overcome these problems, we screened drug like molecules that exhibit physicochemical properties for favorable absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicological parameters. Key physicochemical properties of compounds such as Molecular.

Homology modeling:

Homology modeling was carried out in order to study three dimensional structure of protein of E1(3N41).the study was carried out using **PHYRE2**.

• Protein HomologY Recognition Engine developed by Lawrence Kelley. PHYRE2 was used for protein structure prediction. It was web-based services for protein structure prediction.PHYRE2 was used to predict the 3-D structure of a protein using the principles and techniques of Homology Modeling, Secondary Structure Prediction and Domain analysis.

3D LIGAND SITE SEARCHING

3DLigandSite is a web server was used to predict the ligand binding sites based upon the structure similar to the protein submitted as query. Ligands bound to structures similar to the query were superimposed onto the model. This model used to predict the binding site. 3DLigandSite was used to predict the binding sites using ligands from homologous structure of query protein E1.

Docking

Docking was used to predict both ligand orientation and binding affinity. In this method the preferred orientation of one molecule with relation to a second, when bound to each other to form a stable complex in three dimensional spaces is predicted. Docking was used for finding the orientation of drugs in particular target. Knowledge of the preferred orientation in turn was used to predict the strength of association/binding affinity between two molecules using scoring functions. We took the PDB ID of E1 protein monomer(3N41). Ligands for the particular proteinis taken expected output from it. We have checked the properties of these ligands in pubchem database and chosen few lead molecules out of them. Using Patch dock we checked the protein -protein interactions. The Docking interactions were observed in Pymol.

- **PATCHDOCK**: patchdock study was carried out in order to study the interaction between ligand and protein of interest.the result yielded Atomic Configration Energy with surface area
- HEX :Hex is an interactive protein docking and molecular superposition program.Hex understands protein and DNA structures in PDB format, and it can also read small-molecule SDF files and include CUDA support for Nvidia GPUs. It uses KBDOCK KBDOCK is a database of all known structural domain-domain interaction, built directly from the PDB and Pfam . If structural homologues exist for your docking target, KBDOCK finds homology templates, it can also be used as a handy way to launch a docking job with HexServer.

UNITS

Energy value in Kilojoules per mole (kj/mol), interaction Energy in Kilo Calories per mol (kcal/mol)

RESULT

TABLE 1: SHOWING DIFFERENT LIGANDS AND ITS INFORMATION

NAME	PDB ID	STRUCTURE	MOLECULAR FORMULA	MOLECULAR WEIGHT(g/mol)
EFAVIRENZ	PDB/IFK9 PDB/IFK0 PDB/IFKP PDB/IIKW	- Xa	CtuHgClFyNO2	315.67
RIFAXIMIN	1H4V	WH IS	Cath-NdOn	785.87854
RIFAPENTINE	PDB/2A69 PDB/2A6E PDB/2BE5		CrH4NO-3	877.030660

TABLE 2: PROTEIN (MACROMOLECULE) INFORMATION

Protein Name	Source	PDB ID& Chain	PDB Structure
E1-Protein	Chikungunya Virus	3N41	No.

TABLE 3:LIGAND (SMALL MOLECULE) INFORMATION

LIGAND NAME	SOURCE	PDB ID	PUBCHEM STRUCTURE
· EFAVIRENZ ·	CHEMICAL SYNTHESIS	1IKW	St-r

TABLE 4: QUANTUM ENERGY COMPARISON FOR LIGAND AND PROTEIN

LIGAND NAME	ESTIMATED VALUE	BINDING VALUE
EFAVIRENZ	-1.80 kj/mol	-15.80 kj/mol
RIMANTIDINE	-3.98 kj/mol	DIGITAL LIBRAR



FIG1: PHYRE RESULT FOR E1 PROTEIN

E1 protein [Chikungunya virus]

fiald, G2				
SACION DEPENDENTICAL ACCEDICEN VERDEON DARCONCA	ADV01871 E1 protein, partial ADV91971 ADV91971 ADV91971.1 0119199 ADV91971.1 0119199	95 mm (Charmeguoya warne (0:00	tineer G	996 9: JAN 00:
SORDER ORGANIZAR	- Chikanginya vitan Chikanginya vitan Varuenya selila yosti Alatavia seli Sty com	Line-attenti vterena	e, 40 DNA eta	pe; Trumvsesdae;
ALTHOUGH	2 (contribute 1 in RT Turned, R. S., San Euro Duining, V. J. and Sur	t) L.L., Advan,W., Sar M.J.	nais, M. T. " Alar	
TITLE.	Epilemitingy and int		Walapate	Kingunge volue
OCOMMAL REPERCE	Negalitizied 2 Creatines 1 to 85			and Manager 1
TITLE	Dulming, N. J. and Set	te,I	sie, a. r., and	an same spece
9 DOMNAL	Fidentiled (18-A10-2) Resument, Johan Pahr Palityite	100 Variebogy Unit, ing. Henlin Frager,	Foderal Terr	iner 50550,
CORPORT TEATISET	Sethed: conception: 0	INTERNAL CARLEND		

FIG2: DETAILS OF E1 PROTEIN CHIKUNGUNYA VIRUS



FIG 3: THE INTERACTION ENERGY OF DOCKING WAS CALCULATED USING THE HEX SERVER BETWEEN PROTEIN(3N41) AND LIGAND(11KW) (WITH HYDROGEN BONDING) WITH INTERACTION ENERGY -144.79 KCAL MOL(-1)

P	A'	rci	Ð	oc	R 🔶	•		
ison i fen	dix-10	Nea Janata Via	at figures	et thát te	de recentil			
NOCOTIX SNOT	uçanı Têx	Complex From Definit:	(k) 4,2	983.065	Later And Magazine Media Salatan	income etc.	Up id site	6.55366.385303
Solution for	6	Silana Di Sila	Ania. Piztur	ACS 3PT PT	The effortunition	-teriar	104	de of the samples
1.0		LC281	1224746 1999 (K	227.06	5-06-021/02.6-022.59-01.10 -1.69-0.97-0.25-030.11-022	LER AND CONTRACTOR	11.0	TO AP
104./-		10220	24.3	943-25 12-5 (V	10 38 (8 57 (8 53 -42 80 1833) 2 48 8 27 5 17 14 18 27	7190118. お社に取っ	31.4	10.12
106 104		10.4-	2130.00	015-26	C BU C 14 K 18 1.5 20 -1-4 51	41 (27 4). -(14 6)	10.0	12.24
120		ALC: UNITED IN CONTRACTOR		123.16	C 31 -0.21 2.73 212 41 -0	0.021	51.0	120 228
115		11.14	Sur	242.80		S Sige		AT LOT OF L

PATCHDOCK RESULTS FOR 11KW AND 3N41



FIG 4: PYMOL VIEW OF PATCHDOCK (DOCKING BETWEEN LIGAND (EFAVIRENZ.) AND PROTEIN(3N41)WITH ATOMIC CONFIGRATION ENERGY -249.98KJ.MOL(-1).

TABLE5:ATOMICCONFIGURATIONENERGYANDSURFACEAREACOMPARISONFORDIFFERENTLIGANDSWITHPROTEIN (3N41)USINGPATCHDOCK

LIGAND NAME	PDB ID	ACE	SURFACE
			AREA
EFAVIRENZ	1FKO	-356.86	1950.60
RIFAPENTINE	2A69	-292.73	842.20
EFAVIRENZ	1FK9	-296.14	1689.40
EFAVIRENZ	1FKP	-346.93	1421.30
EFAVIRENZ	1IKW	-249.98	2230.10
RIFAXIMIN	1H4V	-298.70	3136.30
RIFAPENTINE	2A6E	-298.84	1754.20
RIFAPENTINE	2BE5	-295.78	1298.2

CONCLUSION:

This study describes about the 3n41 protein that have demonstrated to be a promising drug target for the treatment of Chikungunya. The interaction between Chikungunya 3n41 protein against the ligands was studied by using various computational methods. Based on the hydrogen bonds, docking score, the docking result was analyzed. The results were compared based on patchdock, quantum energy within themselves to find out the best ligands which can inhibit the property of the viral protein. Based on this observations LIGAND EFAVIRENZ. is found to bind with the target more efficiently than other ligand compound with best hydrogen bonding interactions with the peripheral site key residue. Hence, LIGAND EFAVIRENZ. has been emerged as a promising Anti-viral drug candidate with potential symptomatic and disease-modifying effects. Further, OSAR studies can be done to identify conformational changes. The open source tools used to study the docking gave positive results and hence we shortlisted the ligand molecule to be Efavirenz.. Our future goal is to optimize the ligand and prepare the Drug for Downstream processing and make it available for Market .

APPENDIX:

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Abbreviations	Acronyms
CHIKV	Chikungunya virus
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo
IFN	Interferon
kDa	Kilo Dalton
Ala mutated to Val	Alanine mutated to Valine
OSDD	Open source Drug Discovery
GB RAM	GIGABYTE, Random Access Memory
PDB	Protein Data Bank
SDF	Standard Data File
DS	Discovery studio
HBA	Hydrogen Bond Acceptor
HBD	Hydrogen Bond Donor
ClogP	Concentration log Partition value
PHYRE	Protein HomologY Recognition Engine
SAVS	Stuctural Analysis and Verification Server

5.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Apart from the efforts of OSDD Team, the success of any project depends largely on the encouragement and guidelines of many others. We take this opportunity to express our gratitude to the people who have been instrumental in the successful completion of this.

We would like to express my special gratitude and thanks to my Principal, **Dr. B. S. Satyanarayana**, R.V. College of Engineering, for giving us vast resources, facilities and inspiration for all that we could do today

With due respect and immense pleasure we express my special thanks of gratitude to Dr.H.G.ASHOK KUMAR, Head of the department, BIOTECHNOLOGY, and DR.VIDYA NIRANJAN, Associate Professor who gave us the golden opportunity along with constant support and motivation for presenting my work.

We take this opportunity to express our profound gratitude and deep regard to our Professor, Dept OF BIOTECHNOLOGY, for their exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout the course of this work. The blessing, help and guidance given by them time to time shall carry me a long way in the journey of life on which we are about to embark.

We are obliged to staff members of the department, for the valuable information provided by them in their respective fields. We are grateful for their cooperation during the period of our work.

REFERENCES

1. Richard M Casey (2006) Bioinformatics in Structure-Based Drug Design.

2. Geromichalos GD (2007) Importance of molecular computer

modeling in anticancer drug development. Buon J 1:S101-S118.

3. Lahariya C, Pradhan SK (2006) Emergence of chikungunya virus in Indian subcontinent. J Vector Borne Dis 43: 151-160.

4. Lengauer, T, Rarey M (1996) Computational methods for biomolecular docking. Curr Opin Struct Biol 402-406.

Kitchen DB, Decornez H, Furr JR, Bajorath J (2004) Docking and scoring in virtual screening for drug discovery: methods and applications. Nat Rev Drug Discovery 935-949.

6. Alvarez J, Shoicet B (2005) Virtual Screening in Drug Discovery.

7. Meng EC, Shoichet BK, Kuntz ID (1992) Automated Docking with grid based energy evaluation. J Comput Chem 13: 505-524.

8. Accelrys, Discovery Studio suite 2.1.

9.Kelley LA, Sternberg MJ (2009) Protein structure prediction on the

Web: a case study using the Phyre server. Nat Protoc 4: 363-371.

10.Wass MN, Sternberg MJ (2009) Prediction of ligand binding sites using homologous structures and conservation at CASP8. Proteins 77: 147-151.

11.Simmons JD, White LJ, Morrison TE, Montgomery SA, Whitmore AC et al. (2009) Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus disrupts STAT1 signaling by distinct mechanisms independent of host shutoff. J Virol 83: 10571-10581

ABOUT AUTHOR (S):



Vidya Niranjan was born in Feburary 1972. She has 20.5 years of core teaching and bioinformatics research experience along with 8 years of exclusive teaching experience. Currently working as Associate professor and lead Bioinformatics at RVCE, Bangalore. She has mentored more than 300 people studying M.tech, B.tech and M.Sc courses on bioinformatics research. She is also a consultant as bioinformatics domain expert in IT Industries and has 1 patent in her name and a book to her credit. She is a PLOS reviewer from 2011-till date and has excellent verbal and written communication skills. She has publications in international journals like Nature Genetics, Genome research ,NAR ,TIBS. She also has experience in Next Generation Sequencing data analysis and has competency in Design, Development and Maintenance of research tools. She also Leadership Qualities for inspiring and leading a team of professionals/students and ability to work independently along with strong code of ethics and dedication to uphold quality standards.



CHETANA JANARDHAN SHETTY was born on September 1991.She pursued her B.Tech in BIOTECHNOLOGY in B V Bhoommareddy College of Engineering and Technology, Hubli .She is Gold Medalist for Academic year 2013 for Outstanding Performance in B.Tech.At Present she is pursuing Master of Technology in BIOINFORMATICS in RV College of Engineering and Technology ,Bangalore.



Ms Deepa Mohan was born in July 1991.She obtained her B.E degree from BV Bhoomaraddi College of Engineering and Technology. She is currently pursuing her M.Tech degree in RV College of Engineering and Technology



Mayuri Rane was born in September 8 1987. She has pursued Graduation in Biotechnology at KLE College of Engineering and Technology Belgaum.She has 3 years of industrial experience as Production Officer in manufacturing of anticancer Injection (CIPLA).Currently pursuing M.Tech in Bioinformatics at RVCE, Bangalore.



Padmavathi Patelkana is born on April 1988. She was a software developer and Microsoft Biztalk certified programmer with 2.7 years of IT experience. She is currently pursuing her M.Tech degree in Bio-informatics from R.V college of Engineering.



Ms Sandhya was born in 1990.She obtained her B.E degree from Acharya Institute of Technology. She is currently pursuing her M.Tech degree in R V College of Engineering and Technology

